

# Optimal Location of FACTS Devices in Power Systems era: Models, Methods

G Rasmitha, P Suguna Ratnamala

Department of EEE, Godavari Institute of Engineering & Technology (A), Rajahmundry, Andhra Pradesh, India.

**Abstract :** Flexible Alternating Current Transmission Systems (FACTS) devices have been proposed as an effective solution for controlling power flow and regulating bus voltages in electrical power systems, resulting low system losses, and improved stability. Placement of these devices in suitable location can lead to control in line flow and maintain bus voltages in desired level. The FACTS devices placement problem is commonly solved using heuristic optimization techniques which are diverse and have been the subject of ongoing enhancements. This paper presents a survey of the literature from the last decade that has focused on the various techniques applied to determine optimal location of FACTS devices.

Several models and methods have been suggested for the optimal location and parameter setting of FACTS devices. This paper presents an overview of the state of the art models and methods applied to the power system problems, analyzing and classifying current trends in this field.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The need for more efficient and fast responding electrical systems has given rise to a new technology in transmission, based on solid-state devices. These are called Flexible AC Transmission Systems commonly abbreviated as FACTS, which enhance stability [12-13],[62] and increase line loadings closer to thermal limits... Precisely FACTS devices allow the control of all parameters that determine active and reactive power transmission to include node voltages magnitudes and angles [16], [41] and line reactance [7].

The various sensitivity based methods[24],[48] have been proposed in literatures includes jacobian [47] based sensitivity method, Eigen-value analysis based methods, nodal analysis techniques [9], index methods [35] residue-based methods, some of other methods are pole placement techniques, frequency response techniques, root locus techniques, projective control method, non-linear feed control method, Lambda iteration method, Eigen-Sensitivity Theory of Augmented Matrix.

The various optimization based methods have been proposed in literatures that Dynamic optimization programming algorithms[15], Mixed integer-optimization programming techniques[23], Hybrid optimization programming algorithms [2],[35], and Non-linear optimization programming techniques[9],[55], some of other methods are linear optimization programming techniques[17], immune based optimization algorithms, Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP).

The various artificial intelligence (AI) based methods proposed in literature includes genetic algorithms(GA),[12],[20],[22],[30],[31],[51][54],[56],[59]. Evolutionary [29],[39-42],[58], Tabu search algorithms, [28],[35],[55]. Simulated annealing (SA) based approach [32],[35], Particle swarm optimization (PSO) techniques[1],[3-5],[36-38], Ant colony optimization (ACO) algorithms, Fuzzy logic based approach [11], Artificial neural network (ANN) based algorithms, Bacterial Swarming Algorithm (BSA) [43], Harmony search algorithm [45], Bees algorithm[46].

This paper introduces a review on optimal location and parameter settings of FACTS devices in power systems era: Models, methods. Moreover, this work analyzes and classifies current trends. This review aims to serve as a guide to power system engineers and researchers on the available models and methods on optimal location and parameter settings of FACTS devices in the modern power systems era.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 focuses on FACTS classification under multiple criteria: the application, technology to the power system. Section 3 discusses the Classification of Facts Controllers Allocation Techniques Section 4 outlines and classifies the published methods. Section 5 concludes.

## II. FLEXIBLE AC TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS

FACTS device can be defined as: "A power electronic based system and other static equipment that provide control of one or more AC transmission system parameters to enhance controllability and increase power transfer capability". FACTS can be classified under multiple criteria: the application, technology, type of connection to the power system, installation cost per MVA, dynamic response of the FACTS and some other consideration. The most studied cases from the viewpoint of application are:

- Voltage Control: SVC, UPFC, STATCOM, TCSC and TCPST/PST.
- Assets Optimization: SVC, UPFC, STATCOM, TCSC, TCPST/PST and SSSC
- Line Overload Limiting: UPFC, TCSC and TCPST/PST.
- Avoid congestion and re-dispatch: UPFC, TCSC and SVC.
- Voltage stability and collapse: STATCOM, UPFC, TCSC and SVC.
- Angle stability: UPFC, TCSC, SVC and SSSC.
- N-1 Contingency criteria fulfillment: UPFC, TCSC, SVC and STATCOM.
- Transmission cost minimization: UPFC, TCSC, SVC, TCPST/PST, SSSC and STATCOM.

### III. CLASSIFICATION OF FACTS CONTROLLERS ALLOCATION TECHNIQUES

Three broad categories of allocation techniques for determining, best suited location of FACT controllers are sensitivity based methods, optimization based method, and artificial intelligence based techniques

#### A. Sensitivity Based Methods

In [24], A sensitivity based method is employed for optimal location of Flexible AC Transmission system (FACTS) device like Thyristor Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC) by performing Optimal Power Flow (OPF) . Sensitivity based method has been addressed for Optimal location and control of shunt FACTS for transmission of renewable energy in large power systems [48].

#### B. Optimization Based Techniques

This section reviews the optimal placement of FACTS controllers based on various optimization techniques such as a linear and quadratic programming, non-linear optimization programming, integer and mixed integer optimization programming, and dynamic optimization programming.

#### Non-Linear Optimization Programming (NLP)

When the objective function and the constraints are nonlinear, it forms non-linear programming (NLP).the UPFC model includes electrical equivalent circuits, a local control scheme, and a centralized control scheme Control actions are evaluated through a nonlinear optimization process in [9]. Reference [18] suggests, modeling of the Generalized Unified Power Flow Controller (GUPFC) in a Nonlinear Interior Point OPF.

#### Integer and Mixed -Integer Optimization Programming (IP & MIP) Techniques

A mixed integer optimization programming algorithm has been proposed for optimal placement of Thyristor Controlled Phase Shifter Transformers (TCPSTs) in large scale power system for active flow and generation limits, and phase shifter constraints in [23].

#### Dynamic Programming (DP) Techniques

Differential Evolution (DE) method has been addressed to solve optimal power flow in power system incorporating a powerful and versatile Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) device such as Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) to minimize the total generation fuel cost and keep the power flows within their security limits [14].

#### C. Artificial Intelligence (AI) Based Techniques

This section reviews the optimal placement of FACTS controllers based on various Artificial Intelligence based techniques such as a Genetic Algorithm (GA), Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Tabu Search Optimization (TSO), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithm, Simulated Annealing (SA) approach, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm and Fuzzy Logic based approach.

#### Genetic Algorithm (GA)

GA and PSO is applied for Optimal Location and Parameters Setting of UPFC to Enhancing Power System Security under Single Contingencies[1].A Hybrid GA Approach for OPF with Consideration of FACTS Devices is proposed in [2] .GA is used for Optimal Allocation of FACTS Devices by using multi-Objective Optimal Power Flow in [20].GA is employed for the max-rnin range of power flow control on any transmission line or any set of transmission lines [22], A genetic algorithm has been addressed for optimal location of phase shifters and selection of optimal number to maximize system capabilities in [30], A multi-objective genetic algorithm approach to optimal allocation of multi-type FACTS devices for power system security is proposed in [31], GA is used to Locating unified power flow controller for enhancing power system loadability in [51].A genetic algorithm has been addressed for optimal location of phase shifters in the French network to reduce the flows in heavily loaded lines, resulting in an increased loadability of the network and a reduced cost of production [54].

#### Evolution Strategies (EP)

In [29] [58], evolutionary programming is employed for Optimal allocation of FACTS devices to enhance total transfer capability. Reference [39] suggests optimal placement of FACTS controllers in power systems via evolution strategies. Reference [40], a hybrid-meta heuristic method based on evolutionary computing in conjunction with sequential quadratic programming has been proposed for optimal location and placement of FACTS Device such as UPFC in power system. In [41-42], Application of a multi-objective evolutionary algorithm for optimal location and parameters of FACTS devices considering the real power loss in transmission lines and voltage deviation buses.

#### Tabu Search Algorithm (TS)

A TS algorithm has been addressed for determining Optimal Allocation of FACTS in Power Systems for optimal placement of FACTS controllers in [28] . Reference [55], a hybrid-meta heuristic method based on tabu search in conjunction with Nonlinear Programming Methods has been proposed for optimal location of FACTS Device in power system.

#### d. Simulated Annealing (SA) Algorithms

Reference [32], a hybrid-meta heuristic method based on SA in conjunction with PSO has been proposed to loss minimization. Hybrid TS/SA approach has been proposed for optimal placement of multi-type FACTS devices in [35].

#### Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) Algorithms

In [3], a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm has been addressed for the solution of the Optimal Power Flow (OPF) using controllable FACTS controllers to enhance power system security. In [4],a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) technique has been addressed for optimal location of FACTS controllers such as TCSC, SVC, and UPFC considering system loadability and cost of installation. FACTS Allocation Based on Expected Security Cost by Means of Hybrid PSO is proposed in [5].Application of PSO technique has been addressed for optimal location of FACTS devices considering cost of installation and system loadability in [36].

Particle swarm optimization is used for optimal placement of unified power flow controllers in electrical systems with line outages in [37]. Reference [38] suggests optimal placement of multiple STATCOM for voltage stability, loadability.

#### Fuzzy Logic (FL) Algorithms

With increasing loading of existing power transmission systems, the problem of voltage stability and voltage collapse, has also become a major concern in power system planning and operation. Such problems are solved in literatures. References [16], A fuzzy logic based approach has been addressed for optimal placement and sizing of FACTS controllers in power systems.

#### Harmony Search (HS) Algorithm

The HS algorithm has been applied to determine the optimal location of FACTS devices such as UPFC, TCSC, and SVC in a power system to improve power system security [61]. Another method for placement of multi-type FACTS devices such as SVC, Thyristor Controlled Phase Angle Regulators (TCPARs), and UPFC using HS algorithm was presented [62].

**TABLE 1**  
**TAXONOMY OF THE REVIEWED MODELS**

References	No of Devices	Type	Method	Design variables	Objective	Objective function
1	single	UPFC	GA+PSO	Location+parameter setting	single	Power systems security
2	two	TCSC+TCPS	Hybrid GA	Location	single	minimum cost
3	single	UPFC	PSO	Location	single	cost
4	multiple	SVC+TCSC+UPFC	PSO	Location	multiple	loadability+cost
5	single	TCSC	pso	Location+parameter setting	single	Cost
6	single	TCPS	power thyristor technology	Parameter setting	single	AC Power transmission power flow and voltage control
7	multiple	Phase shifter+SVC	optimization	location+size	multiple	Power system security
8	multiple	SVC+TCSC	current injection	Location	single	Static and dynamic security
9	single	UPFC	non linear model	Parameter setting	multiple	Power system security
10	single	phase shifter	integrated OPF	Parameter setting	single	power flow solutions
11	multiple	TCSC+TCPS+OLTC+UPFC	Fuzzy based method	Parameter setting	multiple	voltage and angle stability
12	multiple	STATCOM+SSSC+SVC	transient stability model	Parameter setting	multiple	Transfer capability enhancement
13	multiple	SVC+UPFC	OPF based ATC enhancement model	Location	single	Cost+Security
14	single	UPFC	DE	Location+parameter setting	multiple	Power flow
15	single	TCSC	TCSC power flow model	Parameter setting	single	Voltage profile and loss to relieve over loads and voltage profile
16	multiple	UPFC+TCSC+SVC	FACTS Devices model in NR	Location	multiple	voltage control ,active and reactive power flows
17	single	UPFC	LP based OPF	Location + parameter setting	multiple	Power flow control
18	single	GUPFC	Non linear nterior point method	Location	multiple	loadability+cost
19	multiple	TCSC+UPFC	Linearized (DC) Network model	Location	single	maximize transfer capability
20	single	UPFC	GA	Location	multiple	POwer flow control
21	multiple	TCSC+UPFC	Optimization based method	Parameter setting	single	loadability+cost
22	multiple	TCSC+UPFC	GA	Parameter setting	single	maximize transfer capability
23	single	TCPST	Mixed Integer Linear Programming	Location + parameter setting	single	POwer flow control
24	single	TCSC	sensitivity analysis	Location	single	minimizing total system cost
25	multiple	SVC, TCSC, TCVR, TCPST&UPFC.	GUI Based GA	Location + parameter setting	single	Maximize Power systemloadability
26	multiple	TCPAR+UPFC	SCOPF	Location	single	Global optimal solution
27	single	UPFC	FACTS Operation algorithm	Parameter setting	single	Power system security
28	single	UPFC	parallel tabu search based method	Parameter setting	single	maximize transfer capability
29	multiple	TCPAR+TCSC+SVC+UPFC	EP	Location	single	enhance total transfer capability

30	single	TCPAR	GA	Optimal number +location	single	maximizing system capabilities
31	multiple	FACTS Devices	GA	OPTimal allocation	single	Power system security
32	multiple	FACTS Devices	SA/PSO Techniques	optimal placement	single	Active power loss minimization
33	single	TCSC	OPF	Location	multiple	static security and reduce losses
34	multiple	FACTS Devices	Location index	Location	single	steady state stability
35	multiple	multi type FACTS Devices	hybrid TS/SA Approach	placement of FACTS devices	single	cost
36	multiple	FACTS Devices	pso	optimal placement	single	cost of installation and loadability
37	single	UPFC	pso	optimal placement	single	Power system security
38	multiple	STATCOM	PSO	placement of STATCOM+Size	single	Voltage stability Margin,losses,loadability
39	multiple	FACTS Devices	Evaluatory strategies	Location	multiple	loadability,security
40	single	UPFC	EP AND sqp	placement and control	single	Power flow
41	multiple	FACTS Devices	Evolutionary programming	location +parameter setting	multy	active power loss minimization& voltage deviation
42	multiple	UPFC devices	evolutionary optimization techniques	Location + parameter setting	single	Transfer capability enhancement
43	multiple	FACTS Devices	bacterial swarming algorithm	location+parameter control	multiple	minimize real power losses,to improve voltage profile
44	multiple	FACTS Devices	group search optimizer (GSOMP)	location +control parameters	multiple	reactive power dispatch
45	multiple	FACTS Devices	Harmony search algorithm	Location	single	to improve power system security
46	multiple	TCPST+TCSC+SVC	Bees algorithm	Location + parameter setting	single	ATC enhancement
47	multiple	FACTS Devices	singular analysis of jacobiam matrix	Location	single	POWER SYstem stability
48	multiple	shunt FACTS Devices	sensitivity analysis	Location + parameter setting	multiple	voltage control ,power systems security
49	multiple	TCPAR+TCSC	power flow control technique	location +parameter setting	multiple	Congestion management
50	single	FACTS Devices	power flow control technique	Location	single	loadability,losses stability
51	single	UPFC	GA	Location	single	loadability
52	multiple	shunt FACTS Devices	line model	Location	multiple	voltage,power flow
53	multiple	SVC TCSC STATCOM	extended voltage phasor approach	Location	single	Transient stability
54	multiple	series FACTS Devices	GA	Location	single	Loadability,Cost
55	single	FACTS Device	tabu search+ nonlinear programming	Location	single	opf
56	multiple	shunt FACTS Devices	GA	Location	single	Optimal power flow
57	single	UPFC	controlling of UPFC	Location	single	power transmission control
58	single	UPFC	EP+SQP	placement and control	single	power flow control
59	multiple	fACTS Device	GA	Location	single	Congestion management
60	single	UPFC	augmented lagrangian multiplier	Location		steady state performance,increases loadability
61	multiple	UPFC, TCSC, and SVC	Harmony search algorithm	placement	single	Power system security
62	multiple	SVC ,TCPAR UPFC	Harmony search algorithm	placement	single	cost

#### IV.CONCLUSION

This paper presents a bibliographical survey of the published work on the application of different heuristic optimization techniques to solve the problem of optimal placement and sizing of FACTS devices in power systems. Various heuristic optimization techniques that have been used to address the problem are summarized and classified. The paper also provides a general literature survey and a list of published references as essential guidelines for the research on optimal placement and sizing of FACTS devices.

## REFERENCES

- [1].H. I. Shaheen, G. I. Rashed, and S. J. Cheng, "Optimal location and parameters setting of Unified Power Flow Controller based on evolutionary optimization techniques," IEEE PES General Meeting, Tampa, FL, USA, pp.8, Jun. 2004.
- [2] Leung, H. C. and Chung, T. S., "A Hybrid GA Approach for Optimal Control Setting Determination of UPFC", IEEE Power Engineering Review, Vol. 21, Issue 12, pp.62-65, Dec 2001.
- [3].H C Leung and Dylan Dah-Chuan Lu,"particle Swarm Optimization for OPF with Consideration of FACTS devices",978-1-61284-972-0/11/\$26.00 ©2011 IEEE.
- [4].M.Saravanan, S. Mary Raja Slochanal, P.Venkatesh, Prince Stephen Abraham. J,"Application of PSO Technique for Optimal Location of FACTS Devices Considering System Loadability and Cost of Installation",
- [5].RonySeto, Wibowo, NaotoYorino, Yoshifumi Zoka, Mehdi Eghbal Yutaka Sasaki,"FACTS Allocation Based on Expected Security Cost by Means of Hybrid PSO",978-1-4244-4813-5/10/\$25.00 ©2010 IEEE.
- [6].R.M. Mathur,R. S. Basati"A Thyristor controlled static phase shifter for AC power transmission"IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, Vol. PAS-100, No. 5, May 1981.
- [7].A. Berizzi, A. Silvestri, E.Titoni, D.Zaninelli,P. Marazzino "power flows and voltage control in electric systems by traditional and innovative devices"0-7803-3 1 -09-5/96/\$5.00 1996 IEEE.
- [8].Gabriela Glanzmann,Göran Andersson"Incorporation of N-1 Security in to Optimal Power Flow for FACTS Control",142440178-X/06/\$20.00 ©2006 IEEE.
- [9].Sergio Bruno, Massimo La Scala,"Unified Power Flow Controllers for Security-Constrained Transmission Management"IEEE transactions on power systems, vol. 19, no. 1, February 2004.
- [10].James A. Momoh,Jizhong Z. Zhu,Garfield D. Boswell,"Power System Security Enhancement by OPF with Phase Shifter"IEEE transactions on power systems, vol. 16, no. 2, may 2001.0885-8950/01\$10.00 © 2001 IEEE.
- [11].K.L.Lo, Y.J.LinandW.H.Siew,"Fuzzy-logic method for adjustment of variable parameters in load-flow calculation",IEE Proc..Gener. Troti.,n. Dblrib.Vol. 146. No. 3, Mol. 1999.
- [12].Claudio A.Caiizares,"Power Flow and Transient Stability Models of FACTS Controllers for Voltage and Angle Stability Studies",0-7803-5935-6/00/\$10.00 (c) 2000 IEEE.
- [13].Ying Xiao, Y. H. Song,Chen-Ching Liu,"Available Transfer Capability Enhancement Using FACTS Devices",IEEE transactions on power systems, vol. 18, no. 1, february 2003.
- [14].M. Saiveerraju , B.V. Sankar Ram , and K. Vaisakh ,"DE Based Optimal Power Flow for Location of UPFC Considering Voltage Stability "international Journal of Recent Trends in Engineering, Vol 2, No. 5, November 2009.
- [15].C. R. Fuerte-Esquivel, E. Ache, and H. Ambriz-Pbrez,"A Thyristor Controlled Series Compensator Model for the Power Flow Solution of Practical Power Networks",IEEE transactions on power systems. Vol. is, no. 1, February 2000
- [16].Ch.Rambabu,Dr.Y.P.Obulesu,Dr.Ch.Saibabu,"Improvement of Voltage Profile and Reduce Power System Losses by using Multi Type Facts Devices"International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 13– No.2, January 2011.
- [17].Wei Shao, Vijay Vittal,"LP-Based OPF for Corrective FACTS Control to Relieve Overloads and Voltage Violation', 0885-8950/\$20.00 © 2006 IEEE.
- [18].Xiao-Ping Zhang,Edmund Handschin,"Modeling of the Generalized Unified Power Flow Controller (GUPFC) in a Nonlinear Interior Point OPF",IEEE transactions on power systems, vol. 16, no. 3, august 2001.
- [19].T S Chung,"optimal active power flow incorporating power flow control needs in flexible ac transmission systems",IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol. 14, No. 2, May 1999.
- [20].Aditya Tiwari, K.K.Swarnkar, Dr.S.Wadhvani and Dr.A.K.Wadhvani,"Optimal Allocation of FACTS Devices by using multi-Objective Optimal Power Flow and Genetic Algorithms", International Journal of Electronics Signals and Systems (IJESS), ISSN No. 2231- 5969, Volume-1, Issue-2, 2012.
- [21].Tina Orfanogianni and Rainer Bacher,"Steady-State Optimization in Power Systems with Series FACTS Devices", IEEE transactions on power systems, vol. 18, no. 1, February 2003.
- [22].Naihu Li,Yan Xu,Heng Chen,"FACTS-Based Power Flow Control in Interconnected Power Systems",IEE E transactions on power systems. vol. 15. No. i. February 2000.
- [23].Flavio G. M. Lima, Francisco D. Galiana,"Phase Shifter Placement in Large-Scale Systems via Mixed Integer Linear Programming",IEEE transactions on power systems, vol. 18, no. 3, august 2003.
- [24]"Optimal location of TCSC in a large system to optimize load Flow: A sensitivity based approach',IEEE ,6<sup>th</sup> international conference 4-6 March 2016 ,DOI [10.1109/ICPES.2016.7584118](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPES.2016.7584118).
- [25].Esmail Ghahremani and Innocent Kamwa,"Optimal Placement of Multiple-Type FACTS Devices to Maximize Power System Loadability Using a Generic Graphical User Interface"IEEE transactions on power systems.
- [26].Alberto Berizzi,Maurizio Delfanti,"Enhanced Security-Constrained OPF With FACTS Devices",IEEE transactions on power systems, vol. 20, no. 3, august 2005.
- [27].Sung-Hwan Song,Jung-Uk Lim,"FACTS Operation Scheme for Enhancement of Power System Security",IEEE Bologna power tech conference,2003.
- [28].Hiroyuki Mori,Yuichiro Goto,"A Parallel Tabu Search Based Method for Determining Optimal Allocation of FACTS in Power Systems",0-7803-6338-8/00/\$10.00(~)2000 IEEE.
- [29].W. Ongskul, and P. Jirapong, "Optimal allocation of FACTS devices to enhance total transfer capability using evolutionary programming," IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, vol.5, pp.4175-4178, May. 2005.
- [30].L. Ippolito, and P. Siano, "Selection of optimal number and location of thyristor-controlled phase shifters using genetic based algorithms," IEE proc.-Gener. Trasm. Distrib., vol. 151, No. 5, Sept. 2004.
- [31] D. Radu, etal, "A multi-objective genetic algorithm approach to optimal allocation of multi-type FACTS devices for power system security," IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting, pp. 8, Jun. 2006.
- [32].Majumdar, S.; Chakraborty, A.K. and Chattopadhyay, P.K.; "Active power loss minimization with FACTS devices using SA/PSO techniques" ICPS '09. International Conference, pp 1-5, 2009.
- [33].Yunqiang Lu, Ali Abur, "Static Security Enhancement via Optimal Utilization of Thyristor Controlled Series Capacitor", IEEE Transaction on Power Systems Vol.17, No.2, pp. 324-329, May 2002.

- [34]. Wu, A. Yokoyama, J. He, and Y. Yu, "Allocation and control of FACTS devices for steady-state stability enhancement of large-scale power system," in Proc. 1998 Int. Conf. Power System Technology, vol. 1, Beijing, China, pp. 357–361.
- [35]. P. Bhasaputra and W. Ongsakul, "Optimal placement of multi-type FACTS devices by hybrid TS/SA approach," in Proc. 2003 IEEE Circuits and Systems (ISCAS'03), May 25–28, 2003, vol. 3, pp. 375–378.
- [36]. M. Saravanan, S. M. R. Slochanal, P. Venkatesh, and P. S. Abraham, "Application of PSO technique for optimal location of FACTS devices considering cost of installation and system loadability," ELSEVIER Electr. Power Syst. Res., vol. 77, pp. 276–283, Apr. 2007.
- [37]. S. T. J. Christa and P. Venkatesh, "Application of particle swarm optimization for optimal placement of unified power flow controllers in electrical systems with line outages," in Proc. 2007 IEEE Int. Conf. Computational Intelligence, Dec. 13–15, 2007, vol. 1, pp. 119–124.
- [38]. E. N. Azadani, S. H. Hosseinian, M. Janati, and P. Hasanpor, "Optimal placement of multiple STATCOM," in Proc. 2008 IEEE Int. Middle-East Conf. Power Syst. (MEPCON'08), Mar. 12–15, 2008, pp. 523–528.
- [39]. M. Santiago-Luna and J. R. Cedeno-Maldonado, "Optimal placement of FACTS controllers in power systems via evolution strategies," in Proc. 2006 IEEE Trans. and Dist. Conf. Expo. (TDC 2006), Aug. 15–18, 2006, pp. 1–6.
- [40]. R. P. Kalyani, M. L. Crow, and D. R. Tauritz, "Optimal placement and control of unified power flow control devices using evolutionary computing and sequential quadratic programming," in Proc. 2006 IEEE Power Systems Conf. Expo. (PSCE'06), Nov. 29–30, 2006, pp. 959–964.
- [41]. I. Marouani, T. Guesmi, H. H. Abdallah, and A. Quali, "Application of a multi-objective evolutionary algorithm for optimal location and parameters of FACTS devices considering the real power loss in transmission lines and voltage deviation buses," in Proc. 2009 IEEE System, Signals and Devices (SSD'09), pp. 1–6.
- [42]. H. I. Shaheen, G. I. Rashed, and S. J. Cheng, "Application of evolutionary optimization techniques for optimal location and parameters setting of multiple UPFC devices," in Proc. 2007 IEEE Int. Natural Computation Conf. (ICNC'07), Aug. 24–27, 2007, vol. 4, pp. 688–697.
- [43]. Z. Lu, M. S. Li, W. J. Tang, and Q. H. Wu, "Optimal location of FACTS devices by a bacterial swarming algorithm for reactive power planning," in Proc. '07 IEEE Evolutionary Computing, Sep. 25–28, 2007, pp. 2344–2349.
- [44]. Q. H. Wu, Z. Lu, M. S. Li, and T. Y. Ji, "Optimal placement of FACTS devices by a group search optimizer with multiple producer," in Proc. 2007 IEEE Evolutionary Computing (CEC 2008), Jun. 1–6, 2008, pp. 1033–1039.
- [45]. A. Kazemi, A. Parizad, and H. R. Baghaee, "On the use of harmony search algorithm in optimal placement of FACTS devices to improve power system security," in Proc. 2009 IEEE EURO Conf., May 18–23, 2009, pp. 540–576.
- [46]. R. M. Idris, A. Kharuddin, and M. W. Mustafa, "Optimal choice of FACTS devices for ATC enhancement using bees algorithm," in Proc. 2009 IEEE Power Engineering Conf. (AUPEC'09), Sep. 27–30, 2009, pp. 1–6.
- [47]. A. Z. Gamm and I. I. Gloub, "Determination of locations for FACTS and energy storage by the singular analysis," in Proc. 1998 IEEE Power System Technology (POWERCON '98), Aug. 18–21, 1998, vol. 1, pp. 411–414.
- [48]. A. D. Shakib and G. Balzer, "Optimal location and control of shunt FACTS for transmission of renewable energy in large power systems," in Proc. 2010 IEEE Mediterranean Electrotechnical Conf. (MELECON 2010), Apr. 26–28, 1998, pp. 890–895.
- [49]. S. N. Singh and A. K. David, "Optimal location of FACTS devices for congestion management," ELSEVIER Electr. Power Syst. Res., vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 71–79, 2001.
- [50]. S. N. Singh and A. K. David, "Placement of FACTS device in open power market," in Proc. 2000 IEEE Power System Control, Operation and Management (APSCOM-2000), Oct. 30–Nov. 1, 2000, vol. 1, pp. 173–177.
- [51]. S. N. Singh and I. Erlich, "Locating unified power flow controller for enhancing power system loadability," in Proc. 2005 IEEE Int. Conf. Future Power Systems, Nov. 18–21, 2005, pp. 1–5.
- [52]. M. H. Haque, "Optimal location of shunt FACTS devices in long transmission lines," ET Gener. Transm. Distrib., vol. 147, no. 4, pp. 218–222, Jul. 2000.
- [53]. N. K. Sharma, A. Ghosh, and R. K. Varma, "A novel placement strategy for FACTS controllers," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 982–987, Jul. 2003.
- [54]. P. Paterni, et al., "Optimal Location of Phase Shifters in the French Network by Genetic Algorithm," IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 37–42, Feb. 1999.
- [55]. Y. Matsuo and A. Yokoyama, "Optimization of Installation of FACTS Device in Power System Planning by Both Tabu Search and Nonlinear Programming Methods," Proc. of ISAP'99, pp. 250–254, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Apr. 1999.
- [56]. H. C. B. u n g and T. S. Chung, "Optimal Power Flow with a Versatile FACTS Controller by Genetic Algorithm Approach," Proc. of IEEE PES Winter Meeting, Singapore, Jan. 2000.
- [57]. L. Gyugyi, et al., "The Unified Power Flow Controller: A New Approach to Power Transmission Control," IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 485–491, Apr. 1995.
- [58]. R. P. Kalyani, M. L. Crew, and D. R. Tauritz, "Optimal Placement and control of UPFC devices Using Evolutionary Computing and Sequential Quadratic Programming," Power System Conference and Exposition 2006, PSCE'06, 2006 IEEE PES, 29 Oct., 2006 – 1 Nov., 2006, pp. 959–964.
- [59]. L. J. Cai, I. Erlich, and G. Stamtsis, "Optimal Choice and Allocation of FACTS Devices in Deregulated Electricity Market Using Genetic Algorithms," Power System Conference and Exposition, 2004 IEEE PES, 1, 10–13 OCT, 2004, pp. 201–20.
- [60]. F. Fang, W. L.; Ngan, H. W. "Optimising location of unified power flow controllers using the method of augmented Lagrange multipliers" IEE Proceedings on Generation, Transmission and Distribution, Vol. 146, pp. 428–434, 1999.
- [61]. Kazemi A., Parizad A., Baghaee H.R., On The Use Of Harmony Search Algorithm In Optimal Placement Of Facts Devices To Improve Power System Security, Proceeding of EUROCON '09, (2009), 570–576.
- [62]. Parizad A., Kalantar M., Khazali A., Application of HSA and GA in Optimal Placement of FACTS Devices Considering Voltage Stability and Losses, International Conference on Electric Power and Energy Conversion Systems, (2009), 1–7.
- [63]. Chao Duan, Wanliang Fang, Lin Jiang, "FACTS Devices Allocation via Sparse Optimization", IEEE transactions on power systems, VOL. 31, NO. 2, MARCH 2016.
- [64]. Hajar Bagheri Tolabi, Mohd Hasan Ali "Simultaneous Reconfiguration, Optimal Placement of DSTATCOM, and Photovoltaic Array in a Distribution System Based on Fuzzy-ACO Approach". IEEE transactions on sustainable energy, vol. 6, no. 1, January 2015.