Physico-Chemical And Biological Parameters Of Water Are The Indicators Of Water Quality - A Case Study On Inavolu Lake Of Warangal District, Telangana State

K. Sandhya
Department of Zoology, Kakatiya University,
Warangal-506009.Telangana State, India.

Abstract

The present study describes the occurrence and diversity of Zooplankton communities in relation to the physico-chemical properties of Inavolu Lake, located in Warangal District, Telangana State aiming at making an assessment of the water quality. This study prepares a comprehensive physico-chemical and biological status of the urban lake carried out for a period of one year from February 2015 to January 2016 evaluating the relationship and influence between different water quality parameters and the abundance of zooplankton population. Water samples were collected from the lake and analyzed using the Standard laboratory methods and procedures. The Physico-chemical parameters such as Water temperature, pH, Electrical conductivity, Dissolved oxygen, Transparency, Turbidity, Total Dissolved Solids, Chlorides, Ammonia, Biological Oxygen Demand, Phosphates and Nitrates were analyzed. Four different groups of zooplankton were identified in this study which include Rotifera, Cladocera, Copepoda and Ostracoda among which Rotiferans were the most dominant group consisting of twelve genera. Almost all groups of zooplankton were found at a higher number during the dry atmosphere positively correlated with dissolved oxygen, hardness and transparency and negatively or inversely correlated with pH, temperature and salinity. All the values of these parameters were found to be within the prescribed standard limits. This lake has rich number of species biodiversity of aquatic animals concluding that the fish culture practices are highly economical in this lake.

Key words: Inavolu Lake, Physico-chemical parameters, Zooplankton Diversity.

1.INTRODUCTION

Water is an elixir of the body, a primary need of all living organisms. It is a valuable commodity available in very limited quantities to man and other living beings. The fresh water must be recognised as the Blood of Society (Wetzel, 2000). Water is the most vital resource for all kinds of life as it forms a medium in which physical and chemical transformations especially those of biological significance takes place and is considered as precious component on the earth. This unique component of nature plays an important role in life from molecules to man. Freshwater ecosystems have been critical to sustaining life and establishing civilizations throughout history. Human beings relay on freshwater not only for drinking water but also for the purpose of Agriculture, Transportation, Energy production, Industrial purposes, Waste disposal, and the production of fish and other edible organisms. In aquatic ecosystem, Physico-chemical environment exerts profound influence on its biotic components. It controls biodiversity, biomass and spatial distribution of biotic communities in time and space. The physical and chemical parameters exert their influence both, individually and collectively and their interaction creates a biotic environment, which ultimately conditions the origin, development and finally succession of the biotic communities (Salaskar and Yeragi,1997).

Fresh water is a basic human need as well as an important natural resource. Protection or the improvement of water quality is a great concern to Governments around the world. The quality of water has been getting vastly

deteriorated due to unscientific waste disposal, improper water management and carelessness towards environment. This has led to scarcity of potable water affecting the human health (Agarkar, 2001). Many natural water bodies in India receive millions of liters of fresh water for agricultural runoff with different concentrations of pollutants in various farms. Water resources are declining day by day at the faster rate due to rapid urbanization and population load. Deterioration of the water quality is a global problem (Mahananda *et al.,* 2010). Water quality continues to be degraded by nonpoint pollutant sources. As part of the industrial development in most places, fresh water bodies are dumped with highly toxic chemicals along with effluents to a dangerous level. Massive amount of domestic waste water from cities and industrial effluents from industries are discharged into rivers contaminating rivers, lakes and reservoirs. Such anthropogenic pollutants are the main sources of heavy metal contamination in rivers, lakes and reservoirs. These contaminants entering the aquatic ecosystem may not directly damage the organisms. They can be deposited into aquatic organisms through the effects of bio-concentration, bioaccumulation and the food chain process (Eromosele *et al.,* 1995 and Chernoff and Dooley, 1979). The level of pollutants being detected in the tissues of organisms is the only direct measure of the proportion of the total toxicant delivery to biota, and therefore indicates the fraction that is likely to enter and affect aquatic ecosystem (Phillips, 1980). Without the knowledge of water quality, it is difficult to understand the biological phenomena at length. The water quality parameters influence each other and govern the distribution and abundance of flora and fauna (Shinde *et al,* 2010).

Physico-chemical parameter study is very important to get exact idea about the quality of water. Therefore there is a need to study that rate of interrelationship among key water quality parameters in relation to water quality management and productivity. Monitoring of these parameters is essential to identify magnitude and source of any pollution load. These characteristics can identify certain condition for the ecology of living organisms and suggest appropriate conservation and management strategies. Hence regular monitoring of Physico- chemical and biological water quality parameters is essential to determine status of water body.

The present study aims at making an assessment of the water quality with reference to Physico chemical and Biological characteristics of the Inavolu lake. Fish ponds in fresh water areas of Warangal District play a vital role in fisheries to supply the much needed animal protein to the people inhibiting especially in rural areas. There is dearth of information on production of fish from fish ponds in Warangal Districts especially in fresh water zones. It is therefore important to know about water quality parameters and their management.

2. STUDY AREA

Warangal District has several fresh water bodies, temporary and permanent spread out through the district and offer well scope for fisheries. Most of the Fresh water bodies in this District are seasonal and many of them have disappeared because of human activities such as a consequence of increasing industrialization, urbanization and other developmental activities from the last ten years.

Inavolu lake located at latitude 79°- 33' - 20"West 79°- 35- '51 "East and longitude 17° - 52' - 19 "South 17°- 55 - '45 " North. The Ayacut of the lake is 59.89 Hectares (147.92 Acres). It has a Krishna Basin and Submergence area of 16 Acres. Length of Bund is 760mt. Weir and Sluice is present in this lake. This lake shows good diversity of Icthyofauna along with other fauna.



Telangana State **Warangal District**

Fig.No:1.Overall view of Inavolu lake

3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

3.1. Sampling Programme

To assess the water quality parameters and the suitability of water for fish culture, the water samples were collected at four identified sampling stations and a composite sample was prepared in order to minimize the error. The water samples have been analyzed for a period of one year from February, 2015 to January, 2016.The water samples were collected during early hours of the day usually in the first week of every month. Prior to sample collection, all the sampling bottles were thoroughly washed, sun-dried and rinsed with the same water to be collected in the pond. The sampling bottles were labeled with dates and collection sites and they were kept in a cool container maintaining temperature below 25˚C till the analysis completed. For the analysis of chemical parameters the water samples were collected in plastic cans and brought to the laboratory, physico-chemical parameters were analysed as the procedure given in APHA(2005), Kodarkar (1992), Bhalerao (1998) and Khana (2004).

3.2. Plankton Collection

Plankton analysis

Planktons are the microscopic plants (Phytoplankton) and animals (zooplankton) in and around the euphotic zone in an aquatic ecosystem. Biological methods used for the plankton analysis are sample collection, preservation, counting and identification of the aquatic organisms and processing and interpretation of biological data.

Collection of Plankton:

During the period of investigation, monthly samples were collected by a plankton net made of silk bolting cloth silk no. 25 (Mesh size 56 µm). Water sample (50 liter) was filtered through the net from littoral and open water zones and carefully transferred to 50 ml bottle and preserved in 4% formalin. Preserved samples were examined under a binocular microscope with different magnification. Quantitative analysis was done on a Sedgwick Rafter Counter cell by taking 1 ml sample. Taxonomic identification was carried out with the help of standard literature by Pennak(1978), Michael(1986), Kodarkar (1992) and Dhanapathi(2000).

Sedgwick Rafter Cell Method: The rectangular cavity slide (50x20x1mm) contains exactly 1 ml (1000mm^3) of water sample. The sample was shaken well and 1ml of sample was transferred quickly to the cavity with the help of graduated pipette. The cover slip was properly adjusted so that air bubbles do not remain inside. Binocular microscope was focused and slide examined.

Plankton Ind. / Lit = n x c x 1000/ Volume of sample.
Where,
n – No. of Plankton
c – Concentration of Sample.

Qualitative and quantitative plankton analyses were done up to the genus and planktonic organisms were numerically counted, identified and confirmed by following using various monographs, books and other published literature Ward, Henry Baldwin and Whipple, Chon (1945).Needham, G. James and Needham, R. Paul.(1972), Patil and Gouder (1982), Pace, M. L. et. al., (1990), Battish (1992) and Ndebele M. M. R. (2012). After an accurate identification of each genus, the density of zooplankton was calculated as per the Lackey's drop method (Lackey, J. B.1938).

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Physico-chemical Parameters

The ecological studies on Inavolu lake has been investigated to know the physico-chemical and biological parameters with an emphasis on their significance and interrelationship with fish diversity and also their adverse effect on the enhancement of fish production. This detailed investigation enabled a comprehensive and systematic analysis on the seasonal physico-chemical and biological characteristics of this fresh water lake in Warangal district in three different seasons such as pre-monsoon, monsoon and post monsoon in one year and compared the results. The analytical data of the water samples were presented in Tables. During the study, the literature revealed that different regions receive variable precipitation and hence meteorogical fators governing the physico-chemical properties of the lake in turn influence the planktonic population.

The comprehensive study includes estimation of physico-chemical parameters and Distribution of Zooplankton. The study has been conducted for a period of one year i.e., February 2015- January 2016. The physico-chemical parameters in water play a significant role in seasonal distribution and species composition of plankton. The data on the Physico-chemical characteristics of Inavolu Lake is presented in Table-1. The physiological activities and life processes, such as feeding, reproduction, movements and distribution of organisms are greatly influenced by water temperature. In the present study, the recorded higher water temperature in the Inavolu lake can be corroborated with higher density of zooplankton. A rise in temperature leads to the fast chemical and biochemical reactions, and the kinetics of the biochemical oxygen demand is regulated to some extent by water temperature (Khuhawar and Mastoi, 1995). This study indicated that the density of zooplankton was well correlated with water

temperature of lakes. The water is generally alkaline in nature due to the presence of carbonates and bicarbonates. The pH variation is also attributed to anthropogenic activities like washing of clothes with detergents and mixing of sewage. In the present study, the lowest mean value 7.07 was observed in the month of February and the highest 7.60 was in the month of September. The similar observation was earlier however made by Chandrasekhar (1996) on Saroornagar lake of Hyderabad in their study. Marganwar *et al.,* (2012) observed pH range 7.8 to 8.7 in Ambazari Lake at Nagpur, Maharashtra. Similar observations were made by Shib Abir (2014) in Rudrasagar Lake at Tripura. The Transparency of natural water is an indicator of productivity. During the present investigation the Transparency of water was found suitable for both irrigation and fish culture. However Mane and Pawar (2007) stated that Transparency refers to the clarity of water and it limits the growth of organisms thus more transparency more rate of penetration of sunlight . The less transparency found during monsoon season may be attributed to the entry of silt and other material that enter into the lake through run-off rain water. Dutta *et al.,* (2001) reported an increase in suspended matter and consequent decrease in Transparency during Monsoon. Earlier Anitha (2002) reported that the Transparency was less during monsoon and high during summer. Ramadevi (2007) reported that the Transparency of water was low in rainy and high in winter season. Seasonal variations of Electrical conductivity is always mainly due to fluctuations in the ionic precipitation and the dilution effects of the rains. In the present study, the lowest was 0.25 µmhos/cm in the month of February and the highest was 0.84 µmhos/cm which was observed in the month of May. Sanap *et al.,* (2006), Devika *et al.,* (2006), Mishra *et al.,* (2007), Gupta *et al.,* (2009) and Sreeja and Geesha (2010) have noticed similar findings in various freshwater bodies elsewhere in India. However Rajashekhar *et al.,*(2007) have reported that the low electric conductivity during pre monsoon and high during post monsoon months at a minor reservoir, Nadergul, in Rangareddy District. According to Gautam (1990); Zaman and Fakruzzaman (1996), The DO is one of the most important parameters that reflects the physical and biological processes prevailed in water. DO level in water is depending upon the atmospheric air pressure, photosynthetic activity, temperature, salinity and turbulence. The solubility of oxygen increases with decrease in temperature. DO fluctuations occur due to its utilization for decomposition of organic matter and respiration of organisms including zooplankton, phytoplankton and other water plants. These results indicated that, the density of zooplankton was not correlated with water DO of this lake. The higher DO recorded in the Inavolu lake suggest that there may be good numbers of phytoplankton, which might be supported for zooplankton production. In this study, the recorded higher TDS in the Inavolu lake can be corroborated with higher density of zooplankton. Moreover, the TDS represents the presence of both organic and inorganic nutrients of the water. Seasonal variations in density of zooplankton have also been reported. In the present investigation, maximum free CO_2 was found in summer and minimum in winter. Similarly , Bandela *et al.,* (1998) have recorded maximum CO_2 level in summer and minimum in winter. Datta and Bhagabati (2007) recorded maximum CO_2 in the month of April and June and minimum in the month of October and December from Ox-Bow lakes of Assam. Telkhade *et al.,* (2008) reported the maximum CO_2 value in the month of March. The Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) enables to determine the relative oxygen requirements especially of waste waters, polluted waters and effluents. In the present study, the lowest value of 6.62 mg/l observed in the month of May and the highest of 14.62 mg/l recorded in the month of January. In the present study BOD values were high in winter season and low during summer season and moderatly high during rainy season similar observations were made earlier by Tiwari *et al.,* (1988), Mishra *et al.,*(1999). Jain and Dhanija, (2000) who have identified BOD as an important parameter in aquatic ecosystems to establish the status of pollution. Narasimha Ramulu and Benarjee (2011) have stated that BOD values were minimum in Pre monsoon and maximum in Post monsoon season. The Total Hardness of water is mainly due to the presence of various salts of calcium and magnesium. The salts contribute to the Total hardness of freshwater. The lowest of 98.75mg/l found in the month of January and the highest was 216.00mg/l in the month of May in this study. Chloride is considered to be an important factor as it is one of the essential ions in assessing the status of natural water bodies (Hutchinson, 1957). In the present investigation values of chlorides ranged between 28.22 to 60.50 mg/l. High values of chlorides in Pre monsoon could be due to their concentration as a result of evaporative water loss. Lower values in monsoon could be attributed to dilution effect and renewal of water mass after Pre monsoon stagnation. Ammonia is introduced into the pond through dead phytoplankton, left over feed, dead and decayed organic matter. From the values of present study, It is observed that the levels of Ammonia in this pond water was higher than the desired range which may adversely affect on the aquatic biota. Phosphates were observed in the present study, the lowest mean value of 0.79 mg/l found in the month of January and the highest of 2.21 mg/l found in the month of August. Nitrates were observed, the lowest of 0.39 mg/l in the month of February and the highest of 0.61 mg/l recorded in the month of August. In the present investigation, nitrates were high during monsoon season and low during pre monsoon season. Gohram (1961) observed the high nitrate in monsoon, which is

linked to heavy runoff, the organic matter from the catchments. In the present study observed Sodium was ranged from 4.20 ppm to 6.61 ppm and Potassium ranged from 1.38 ppm to 1.88 ppm.

Table No.1. Monthly Variation of Physico–Chemical parameters at Inavolu Lake during the year 2015 -2016

Month	Tem	pH	Tran	EC	DO	Co_2	TA	BOD	TDS	TH	CL	NH_4	Na	K	No3	Po_4
Feb.15	26.67	7.07	70.37	0.25	8.04	3.67	210.25	7.32	256.25	161.75	55.06	1.13	4.20	1.88	0.39	1.29
Mar.15	27.52	7.42	85.12	0.50	7.64	5.13	237.25	7.07	357.25	181.00	48.16	1.16	4.40	1.74	0.43	1.45
Apr.15	28.40	7.42	81.37	0.78	6.49	5.11	207.75	6.82	411.25	170.75	55.47	1.17	4.50	1.64	0.43	1.61
May.15	30.30	7.35	66.75	0.84	8.45	4.63	203.25	6.62	497.75	216.00	57.62	1.15	4.68	1.58	0.52	1.94
Jun.15	29.40	7.47	50.75	0.68	10.80	4.93	197.50	8.07	107.75	130.50	33.83	1.24	4.94	1.57	0.54	1.80
Jul.15	27.35	7.42	34.37	0.42	10.20	4.32	167.25	9.02	106.75	112.50	28.22	1.24	5.67	1.56	0.59	2.11
Aug.15	28.47	7.40	31.37	0.32	9.07	3.80	123.75	7.00	98.50	102.75	34.50	1.19	6.54	1.50	0.61	2.21
Sep.15	27.65	7.60	38.00	0.39	8.45	3.32	167.25	8.07	153.75	134.50	42.81	1.28	6.61	1.58	0.60	2.10
Oct.15	26.50	7.45	43.25	0.32	6.00	2.92	158.75	11.62	243.50	124.50	45.74	1.27	6.21	1.50	0.58	1.99
Nov.15	25.17	7.55	41.75	0.31	3.86	3.05	228.00	12.85	231.50	114.25	46.99	1.19	6.09	1.42	0.57	1.00
Dec.15	22.37	7.32	55.37	0.24	4.65	2.86	254.25	13.27	220.25	110.00	53.43	1.12	6.10	1.39	0.53	0.86
Jan.16	21.40	7.32	67.75	0.36	5.16	3.65	244.75	14.62	209.00	98.75	60.50	1.08	5.10	1.38	0.47	0.79

4.2. Zooplankton

In an aquatic ecosystem zooplankton plays an important role not only in converting plant food to animal food but also provide an important food source for higher organisms including fish. The study of freshwater fauna especially zooplankton, even of a particular area is extensive and complicated due to environmental, physical, geographical and chemical variation involving ecological, extrinsic and intrinsic factors. This is particularly so with freshwater fauna (Zooplankton) which plays a key role in preservation and maintenance of ecological balance and its basic study is wanting and absolutely necessary. The seasonal fluctuations of the zooplankton population are a well known phenomenon and zooplanktons exhibits bimodal oscillation with a spring and autumn in the temperate lakes and reservoirs Welch, (1952). This fluctuation is greatly influenced by the variation in the temperature along with many other factors. Among the several factors temperature seems to exhibit the greatest influence on the periodicity of zooplanktons (Byars, 1960 and Battish and Kumari, 1996). However, in shallow tropical perennial or seasonal ponds such a regular food cycle cannot be seen. Thus, in any aquatic ecosystem zooplankton not only take part in

transferring food from primary to secondary level but also switch over conversion of detritus matter into edible animal food.

Zooplankton of four groups *Viz*. rotifera, cladocera, copepoda and ostracoda. The most significant feature of zooplankton is its immense diversity over space and time. Zooplankton species composition and their number in three different seasons were presented in Table.2. During the present investigation, the total zooplankton population was dominated by Rotifers in this lake, followed by Cladocerans, Copepodes and ostracods. The total zooplankton population of this lake has rotifera (38.82%), cladocera (18.37%), copepoda (20%) and ostracoda (22.79%).

4.2.1. Rotefera: In the present investigation 12 species belonging to rotifera has been identified in Inavolu Lake. *Brachionus forficula*, *Brachionus calciflorus* , *Brachionus falcatus and Keratella tropica* were more dominant among the rotiferans. High population was observed during Post monsoon season followed by Pre monsoon season and lowest population observed during monsoon season. Fluctuations in zooplankton density have been attributed to turbidity. Welch (1952), Roy (1955), Tandon and Singh (1972) have shown a direct relationship between rotifera population and water temperature. Dissolved oxygen has been correlated with abundance of rotifers.

4.2.2. Cladocera: In the present investigation the cladoceran populations of Inavolu Lake were maximum during in Pre monsoon season followed by post monsoon season and least during monsoon season. The total 7 species of cladocera were identified in the present study. *Acropenus harpae, Dapnia sarsi, Dapnia carinata and Alona rectangula* were more dominant and observed in this year of total study and they are seasonally fluctuated. Micheal (1969) noted the highest peaks of cladocerans during March and April. Seenayya (1973) also observed the maximum peaks of cladoceran during Pre monsoon.

4.2.3. Copepods: In the present investigation the copepods population of Inavolu Lake were maximum during Post monsoon season followed by Pre monsoon season and least during monsoon season. The total 6 species of copepods were identified in the present study. *Nauplius larva, Copepoda naplii, Cyclops strennus and Mesocyclops hyalinus* were more dominant and observed in this year of total study and they are seasonally fluctuated.

4.2.4. Ostracoda: In the present investigation the Ostracods population of Inavolu Lake was maximum during monsoon season followed by Post monsoon season and lowest during pre monsoon season. The total 4 species of Ostracods were identified in the present study. *Hemicypris fossucula, Heterocypris spp* were more dominant and observed in this year of total study and they are seasonally fluctuated. Chandrasekhar (1996), reported higher population of Ostracods during monsoon in Saroornagar lake of Hyderabad.

5. Conclusion

From the present study, it may be concluded that all the physico-chemical parameters are at nearly permissible limit at all the 4 stations. Results of water quality assessment clearly showed that most of the water quality parameters vary slightly higher in the wet season than in the dry season. This lake was not considered to be more polluted. This lake has shown rich biodiversity of aquatic fauna. Therefore, it is suggested that the immediate measures are necessary to be initiated to avoid further contamination of lake due to anthropological activities. The baseline data generated would help planning and future management decisions to develop fresh water lakes for better water quality and production of fish in the fresh water. This will ensure that some of the parameters in this study will not exceed levels that could be harmful to fish in the environment. Such a measure will guarantee the safety of the aquatic ecosystem, humans and environment for good and healthy production of fish for consumption. At present this fresh water lake is suitable for fish culturing and irrigation purpose.

Table No.2. Shows Monthly variation of Zooplankton Population (Org/Lit.) in Inavolu Lake during the year 2015-2016

S.No.	Name of the Species	Pre Monsoon				Monsoon				Post Monsoon				Total
	ROTIFERA	**Feb**	**Mar**	**Apr**	**May**	**Jun**	**Jul**	**Aug**	**Sep**	**Oct**	**Nov**	**Dec**	**Jan**	
1	***Asplanchna brightwelli***	6	4	6	7	0	3	1	0	5	8	6	9	**55**
2	***Brachionus calciflorus***	6	8	5	8	6	5	4	2	9	11	13	11	**88**
3	***Brachionus caudatus***	7	6	9	0	5	7	3	0	7	5	9	12	**70**
4	***Brachionus falcatus***	7	8	6	5	6	4	6	3	7	10	8	9	**79**
5	***Brachionus forficula***	8	9	13	12	7	4	0	9	12	16	12	14	**116**
6	***Filinia opoliensis***	8	6	5	7	5	3	1	0	4	7	8	9	**63**
7	***Keratella tropica***	6	8	6	4	0	1	4	3	8	11	15	10	**76**
8	***Keratella cochlearis***	6	8	4	0	1	3	0	2	5	7	4	8	**48**
9	***Lecane (M) bulla***	6	5	0	4	2	4	3	0	7	10	12	9	**62**
10	***Lecane rhomboides***	0	5	3	1	0	2	0	3	4	3	0	2	**23**
11	***Lecane inopinata***	2	0	0	4	3	0	0	3	6	3	0	4	**25**
12	***Testudinella patina***	5	0	4	6	4	0	3	6	8	9	7	6	**58**
	Total	**67**	**67**	**61**	**58**	**39**	**36**	**25**	**31**	**82**	**100**	**94**	**103**	**763**
	CLADOCERA													
1	***Acropenus harpae***	10	9	11	8	4	6	3	1	6	11	9	10	**88**
2	***Alona rectangula***	6	5	6	8	4	0	3	6	7	0	5	8	**58**
3	***Ceriodaphnia laticaudata***	4	0	3	5	0	1	3	0	5	4	6	5	**36**
4	***Daphnia carinata***	5	11	0	4	5	5	3	0	6	5	8	9	**61**
5	***Daphnia sarsi***	6	4	13	5	0	4	6	3	13	8	11	9	**82**
6	***Moinodaphnia micrura***	0	4	5	3	6	0	0	3	4	3	5	3	**36**
	Total	**31**	**33**	**38**	**33**	**19**	**16**	**18**	**13**	**41**	**31**	**44**	**44**	**361**
	COPEPODA													
1	***Copepoda naplii***	7	5	6	8	6	8	6	5	11	9	10	8	**89**
2	***Cyclops strennus***	5	7	6	8	6	4	0	6	8	10	7	9	**76**
3	***Mesocyclops hyalinus***	4	6	6	5	4	2	0	3	8	9	7	6	**60**
4	***Mesocyclops leukarti***	0	4	3	5	0	1	3	0	6	4	5	6	**37**
5	***Mesocyclops naplii***	3	2	0	4	2	0	1	3	5	4	6	4	**34**
6	***Nauplius larva***	5	8	11	9	7	6	4	5	9	12	11	10	**97**
	Total	**24**	**32**	**32**	**39**	**25**	**21**	**14**	**22**	**47**	**48**	**46**	**43**	**393**
	OSTRACODA													
1	***Cypris subglobosa***	4	3	5	3	8	12	17	9	8	9	9	6	**93**
2	***Hemicypris fossucula***	7	6	6	5	8	18	24	19	10	12	12	11	**138**

3	***Heterocypris sps***	6	4	5	6	7	11	21	12	10	9	11	10	**112**
4	***Llycypris gibba***	6	4	5	3	9	16	19	11	9	8	8	7	**105**
Total	**Total**	**23**	**17**	**21**	**17**	**32**	**57**	**81**	**51**	**37**	**38**	**40**	**34**	**448**

Table No.3. Monthly and Seasonal Variation in the Zooplankton (Group wise) population at Inavolu Lake during the year 2015-2016

Name of the Group	Premonsoon				Monsoon				Postmonsoon				Min	Max
	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan		
Rotifera	67	67	61	58	39	36	25	31	82	100	94	103	**25**	**103**
Cladocera	31	33	38	33	19	16	18	13	41	31	44	44	**13**	**44**
Copepoda	24	32	32	39	25	21	14	22	47	48	46	43	**14**	**48**
Ostracoda	23	17	21	17	32	57	81	51	37	38	40	34	**17**	**81**
Total	**145**	**149**	**152**	**147**	**115**	**130**	**138**	**117**	**207**	**217**	**224**	**224**	**115**	**224**
Seasonal wise Total	593				500				872				115	224

Fig.No:2. Monthly variation of Zooplankton Population in Inavolu Lake during the year 2015-2016.



Fig.No:3. Group wise Distribution of Zooplankton population in Inavolu Lake During the year 2015 to 2016



6. References:

1. Agarkar,S.V. and A.M. Garode. (2001). Physico-chemical and Microbiology aspects of sakegon reservoirs water. Indian Hydrobiology. 4(2): 65-69.
2. Anitha, G. (2002): Hydrography in relation to benthic macro-invertebrates in Mir-Alam Lake, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India. Ph.D. Thesis. Osmnaia University, Hyderabad. A.P. India.
3. APHA-AWWA-WPCF (2005). American Public Health Association: Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater in 21st Ed. APHA, Washington D, USA.
4. Bandela, N.N., Vaidya D.P. and Lomte V.S. (1998): Seasonal temperature changes and their influence on the level of Carbon-dioxide and pH in Barul Dam water. *J. Aqua. Biol.* 13 (1): 43-46.
5. Battish, S.K. and P. Kumari (1996): Effect of physicio-chemical factors on the seasonal abundance of Cladocera in typical pond at village of Raquba, Ludiana. *Ecol*. 13 (1): 146 – 151.
6. Bhalerao, B.B. (1998): Manual of standard methods, Reva Environ. Systems Pvt. Ltd. Nagpur.
7. Byars, J.A. (1960): A freshwater pond in New Zealand. Aust. *J. Mar. Freshwater Res.* 11:222-240.
8. Chandrashekhar, S.V.A. (1996): Ecological studies on Saroornagar Lake, Hyderabad. Ph.D. Thesis, Osmania University, Hyderabad.
9. Chernoff, B. and Dooley J.K., (1979): Heavy metals in relation to the biology of the mummichog Fundulus heteroclitus. J. Fish Biol. (14): 309-328.
10. Devika. R., A. Rajendran and P. Selvapathy (2006): Variation studies on the physico-chemical and biological characteristics at different depths in Model waste stabilization tank. *Poll. Res*. 25(4): 771-774.
11. Dhanapathi, M.V.S.S.S. (2000): Taxonomic notes on the Rotifiers from India, IAAB. Publication, Hyderabad.
12. Dutta, S.K., Z. Changsan, and M.K. Choudhary. (2001): Application of biological monitoring in water quality assessment, bio-monitoring and zooplankton diversity. (Ed. B.K. Sharma) Department of Zoology. NEHU, Shillong. pp.164-173.
13. Dutta, O.K. and Bhagabati S.K. (2007): Limnology of Ox-bow Lake of Assam. NSL: 3-7.
14. Eromosele, C.O., Eromosele I.C., Muktar S.L.M. and Birdling S.A. (1995): Metals in fish from the upper Beure River and lakes Geriyo and Njuwa in northeastern Nigeria.Bull.Environ. Caontam.Toxicol.(54):8-14.

15. Fakruzzaman M, Zaman M. Preliminery investigation on the physic-chemical characteristics of some ponds in central Barind regions, Bangladesh. Limnologia. 1996;3:18-22.
16. Gautam A. Ecology and Pollution of mountain water. Ashish Publ. House, New Delhi, Indi. 1990;209.
17. Hutchinson, G.E. (1957). A. Treatise on Limnology. John, Willey and sons Inc. New York. Pp.115.
18. Jain, Y. and S.K. Dhanija (2000) : Studies in a polluted centric water body of Jabalpur with special reference to which physico - chemical and biological parameters, *J. Envi. Biol*. 7:83 – 88.
19. Kadam, M. S., Pampatwar D. V. and Mali R. P. (2007): Seasonal variations in different physico-chemical characteristics in Masoli reservoir of Parbhani District. Maharashtra. *J.Aqua. Biol.*, 22 (2): 110-112.
20. Khuhawar MY, Mastoi GM. Studies on some physico-chemical parameters of Mancher Lake, Sindh. J Anal Environ Chem. 1995;3:66-71.
21. Kodarkar, M.S. (1992): Methodology for water analysis, Physico-chemical, biological and micro-biological India Association of Auatic Biologists, Hyderabad. Publ.(2): 50
22. Mahananda, M.R., 2010. Physico-chemical analysis of surface water and ground water of Bargarh District, Orissa, India. Int. J. Res. Rev. Appl. Sci., 2(3): 284-295.
23. Marganwar, R.,Dhurve V., kodade J. and Dhawas, S.(2012): Physico –chemical characteristics and quality of lake water of Nagpur City, Maharashtra, India,: J.Bionano Sp.Issue,:107-110.
24. Mane, A.M. and Pawar S.K. (2007): Some physico-chemical properties of Manar river in Nanded District, Maharashtra., *J. Aqua. Biol*. 22(2): 88-90.
25. Manjare, S.A., Vhanalakar. S.A and D.V. Muley (2010): Water quality Assesment of Vadgaon tank of Kolhapur (Maharastra), with special reference to zooplankton. *International J. of Advanced Biotechnology and Research.* Vol. 1 (2) pp. 91-95.
26. Michael, R.G. (1969): Seasonal trends in physico-chemical factors and plankton of a freshwater pond and their role in fish culture *Hydrobiol.* (33): 144-160.
27. Mishra, A.P., B.K. Borah and M. Sharma (1999): Limnological investigation of a freshwater tributary. *Freshwater Biol*. 11(1-2): 1-5.
28. Mishra, D.N., Usha Moxa, C. Lakra and Sushil Kumar (2007):Time scale changes in fisheries of river Yamuna,*J. Inland. Fish. Sol. India.* 39(2):48-52.
29. Narsimha Ramulu (2011) Studies on Qualitative and Quantitative Aspects of Fresh Water of Nagaram Tank with Special Reference to Fisheries. Ph.D thesis submitted to Kakatiya University, Warangal. A.P.
30. Pennak, R.W. 1978. Freshwater Invertebrate of United States. 2nd Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York. pp. 303.
31. Philips, D.J.H. (1980): Quantitative Aquatic Biological Indicators, Applied Science Publishers, London.
32. Rama Devi, T. (2007): Study of some aspects of hydrobiology of Alisagar Dam water, Ph.D. Thesis submitted, Swami Ramanand Teerth, Marathwada University, Nanded.
33. Rajashekhar, A.V., Lingaiah A., Suryanarayana Rao M.S. and Ravi Shankar Piska (2007): The studies on water quality parameters of a minor reservoir, Nadergul, Rangareddy district, Andhra Pradesh. *J. Aqua. Biol.* 22(1): 118-122.
34. Roy, H. 1955. Plankton ecology of river Hooghli (West Bengal). Ecology. 36: 169-175.
35. Sanap. R.R., A.K. Mohite, S.D.Pingle and V.R.Gunale (2006): Evaluation of water qualities of Godawari river with reference to physico-chemical parameters. Dist. Nasik (M.S), India. *Poll. Res*, 25(4): 775-778.
36. Seenayya, G. (1973): Ecological studies in the plankton of certain fresh water ponds of Hyderabad, India. III. Zooplankton and bacteria. *Hydrobiologia* . 41(4): 529-540.
37. Shib Abir (2014): Seasonal variations in physico-chemical characteristics of Rudrasagar wetland. A Ramsagar site, Tripura, North Earth , India. Research Journal of chemical sciences. Vol. 4(1), 31-40.
38. Shinde, S.E. Pathan, T. S. Raut, K. S. More, P. R. and Sonawane, D. L. Seasonal variation in Physico-Chemical characteristics of Harsool-Savangi Dam, District Aurangabad, India. The Ecoscan an International Quarterly Journal of Environmental Sciences. 4(1):37-44, 2010.
39. Sreeja and Geetha (2010) : Some hydrographical parameters of Paravur lake in Kollam district, India. *Uttar Pradesh J. Zool*. 30(2) : 229-234.
40. Tandon, K.K. and H. Singh. (1972): Effect of certain physico-chemical factors on the plankton of the Nangal lake. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. Ind. LXXVI (1).

41. Telkhade, P.M., Dahegaonkar N.R. and Zade S.B. (2008): Water quality assessment of Neri nala at Durgapur, Distt. Chandrapur of Maharashtra. *Envirn. Con. Jour.* 9 (1&2): 49 - 53.
42. Tiwari, R.K., A.K. Saxena and S.K. Kulashresta (1988): Evolution of aquatic warms as indicators of water quality in lower lake of Bhopal. Proc. Nat. Symp. Past. Present and future of Bhopal lake. 67 – 76.
43. Welch, P. (1952): Limnological methods. The Blankston Co., Philadelphia. Toronta, Wuhrmann, K. 1938, Lake. Eutrophication and its control. In Proc. Shiga conference on conservation and management of world environment: 26-37.
44. Wetzel, R.G. and G.E. Likens. (2000): Limnological Analysis. 3rd Edition. Springer Verlag, New York. 429.