



A RETROSPECTIVE CLINICAL STUDY ON INDICATION FOR CAESAREAN SECTION AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL, BHUBANESWAR, ODISHA

(Aditi Das, Aishwarya Sahoo, Nilam Mishra, Swagata Sahoo,

**Msc Nursing 2nd Year, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Kalinga Institute of
Nursing Sciences, KIIT-DU, Bhubaneswar, India**

**(Guide: Mrs. Kalyani Rath, Prof. ,Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Kalinga
Institute of Nursing Sciences, KIIT-DU)**

Abstract

Background

A Caesarean section is the delivery of a baby through a surgical incision in the mother's abdomen and the uterus. There has been an increase in the rate of Caesarean delivery due to multiple factors .

Aim – The aim of the study was to find out the various indication of Caesarean Section among pregnant women in a tertiary care hospital, Bhubaneswar, Odisha.

Method - : The retrospective clinical study was conducted over a period of 8 months from 1st January, 2021 to 31st August, 2021 from the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Pradyumna Bal Memorial Hospital KIMS, Bhubaneswar. The quantitative non-experimental research approach was used for the study which included all pregnant mothers admitted in PBM Hospital and undergone LSCS. Data of 1129 mother who delivered by C-Section in this hospital during the defined study period was collected by using consecutive sampling method and data were collected from medical

records by analyzing the records from the labor room, postnatal ward and MRD Section. A self-structured questionnaire was prepared and finalized according to the expert's opinion .

Results: A total numbers of women delivered over the study period were 1129, out of which C-Sections were 500. The incidence of Caesarean section rates in PBM Hospital was 44.29% during present study period.

The most common indication of Caesarean section as per the present study was found to be 365(73%) obstetrical factors, 100(20%) fetal factors, 160(32%) medical disorders and 94(18.8%) mothers had undergone Caesarean Section due to their self interest .

Conclusions: The results imply that the rate of Caesarean section is increasing with time. Individualization of the indication and careful evaluation, following standardize guidelines, practice of evidenced-based obstetric and audits in the institution can help us limit Caesarean section. Most of the Caesarean sections were elective Caesarean section as per the present study.

KEY WORDS: Lower Segment Caesarean Section, Indication, Fetus, Prevalance, Tertiary care hospital

INTRODUCTION

Caesarean section is one of the most widely performed surgical procedures in obstetrics worldwide. It was mainly evolved as a lifesaving procedure for mother and fetus during the difficult delivery.

The WHO published guidelines regarding C-section rates in 1985 which was revised in 1994. The guidelines published in 1997 by UNICEF, WHO, and UNFPA states that proportion of caesarean birth should range between 5 to 15%. [1-3] At population level, caesarean section rates higher than 10% are not associated with reductions in maternal and newborn mortality rates. Caesarean sections can cause significant and sometimes permanent complications, disability or death particularly in settings that lack the facilities and/or capacity to properly conduct safe surgery and treat surgical complications. Caesarean sections should ideally only be undertaken when medically necessary [4, 5]. According to a research article, the global average C-section rate increased from 6.7% to 19.1% between 1990 and 2014.[6] According to the federation of obstetric and gynecological societies of India“ the hallmark of labor management in the 21st century should be individualized care for the laboring woman with the expectation of a successful and safe

vaginal delivery, together with the ability to intervene with a caesarean delivery, if needed, to prevent morbidity and mortality. [6, 7] But both in developed and developing countries C-section rate is on the raise. There is progressive increase in caesarean deliveries across the world; in developed as well developing countries. This increase in C-section rate has become a major public health issue, because[8,9] families associated with increased risk of maternal and Perinatal morbidity as compared to vaginal deliveries even in low risk cases.

At present there are no strictly defined protocols for the indication of C-section in our country. So, at present the decision for LSCS is mostly individualized and depends on the obstetrician taking care of the parturient WHO advises that C-section rates should not be more than 15%[10].Concern and signals are the need for strategies to deal with it. Adverse outcomes of caesarean births include high risk of maternal and neonatal death, various maternal morbidities including infections, need for blood transfusion, neonatal morbidities related to iatrogenic prematurity, and potential complications in subsequent pregnancies. [11]

The aim of this study was to find out the indication of Caesarean Section among pregnant women in a tertiary care hospital, Bhubaneswar, Odisha.

METHODOLOGY

The retrospective clinical study was conducted over a period of 8 months from 1st January, 2021 to 31st August, 2021 from the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Pradyumna Bal Memorial Hospital KIMS, Bhubaneswar. The quantitative non-experimental research approach was used for the study which included all pregnant mothers admitted in PBM Hospital and undergone LSCS. Among 1129 deliveries 500 deliveries were included in this study by using consecutive sampling technique. The data related to Indication of Caesarean section were collected by analyzing the records from the labor room, postnatal ward and MRD Section. A self-structured questionnaire was prepared and finalized according to the expert's opinion which consisted of socio-demographic variables and factors indicating for Caesarean Section.

Maternal data collected included age, height, weight, education, occupation, family monthly income, types of family, religion, booking status, referral, gravid, gestational period during delivery, anemia and mode of Caesarean section. The various categories of indications for Caesarean sections included The Obstetrical factors which includes factors such as Cephalo Pelvic Disproportions, Previous CS, APH, Pregnancy Induced hypertensive disorders,

malpresentation , PPROM, PROM, Oligohydrominous, Rh Negative, Twin pregnancy, Utero placental insufficiency, post dated pregnancy, preterm labor, cord prolapse(, ruptured uterus), non progression of labor and obstructed labor, followed by Fetal factors which included fetal distress, IUGR and hydrocephalus along with factors associated with Medical disorders which included Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, hypothyroidism, herpes infection, sickle cell anaemia, Rheumatoid arthritis, UTI, Rheumatic Heart Disease, Beta thalasemia and asthma and other factor i.e. Self interest of mothers .Data of patients who delivered by C-Section in this hospital during the defined study period was recorded and a statistical analysis of various parameters namely, the Caesarean section rates, its indications, the patient's morbidity and mortality was done.

RESULT

A total of 1129 mothers delivered during study period(January,2021 to August 2021) of which 500 mothers had undergone Caesarean section. The incidence of Caesarean section rates in PBM Hospital was 44.29% during present study period.

Following are the observations for the variables of the study conducted during the period of January,2021 to August 2021 is discussed below :

TABLE 1 : SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLE

n=500

| PARAMETER | | PERCENTAGE |
|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| Age | 18-23 years | 11% |
| | 24-28 years | 41% |
| | 29-33 years | 34.80% |
| | More than 33 years | 13.20% |
| Height | Less than 140 cm | 2% |
| | 140-160cm | 92% |
| | More than 160 | 5.80% |
| | Less than 50 kg | 1% |

| | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------|
| Weight | 50-80kg | 96% |
| | More than 80kg | 3.40% |
| Education | Primary | 17% |
| | Secondary | 22% |
| | Graduate | 48.60% |
| | Others | 12% |
| Occupation | Homemaker | 23% |
| | Govt. Job | 18% |
| | Private job | 38.80% |
| | Others | 20.6% |
| Family income | Less than rs 25000/- | 8% |
| | 25000-50000/- | 43% |
| | 50000-75000/- | 29.40% |
| | More than 75000/- | 19% |
| Religion | Hindu | 84% |
| | Muslim | 6% |
| | Christian | 9.60% |
| | Others | 0.40% |
| Types of family | Nuclear | 55% |
| | Joint | 42% |
| | Blended | 1.80% |
| | Others | 2.20% |
| Booking status | Booked | 97% |
| | Unbooked | 3% |
| Referral | Direct | 97% |
| | Referred | 3% |
| Gravida | Primi | 42% |
| | Multi | 44% |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| | Grand multi | 14.00% |
| Gestational weeks during pregnancy | Less than 37weeks | 35% |
| | 37-42weeks | 64% |
| | More than 42 weeks | 1.20% |
| Anemia status | Normal hb | 86% |
| | Mild | 14% |
| | Moderate | 0.60% |
| | Severe | 0.00% |
| Mode of delivery | Elective | 80% |
| | Emergency | 20% |

Table 1 shows that percentage distribution of women by their sociodemographic characteristics.out of 500 women 55(11%) were in between 18-23 yrs, 205(41%)were in between 24-28yrs age, 174(34.8%) were in between 29-33yrs and 66(13.2%) were more than 33yrs age group.9(2%) women were less than 140cm, 462(92%) were in between 140-160cm and 17(5.8%) were more than 160cm in height.5(1%) women were less than50kg , 478(96%)were in between 50-80kg and 17(3.4%) were more than 80kg in weight.87(17%) completed primary education, 110(22%) completed secondary education, 243(48.6%) completed graduation and 60(12%) included in other category.114(23%) were homemaker, 89(18%)were doing government job, 194(38.8%) were doing private job and 103(20.6%) were coming under other professions. 42(8%) women were in the category of Rs 25000/- , 216(43%) were in between 25000-50000/-, 147(29.4%) were in between 50000-75000/- and 95(19%) were having family monthly income more than 75000/-.422(84%) women were Hindu, 28(6%) were Muslim, 46(9.6%) were Christian and 2(0.4%) were coming under other category.274(55%) women belong to nuclear family, 206(42%) belong to joint family, 9(1.8%) belong to blended family and 11(2.2%) belong to others category.485(97%)women were booked their cases and admitting as direct cases and 15(3%) were unbooked cases and coming as referral case.Out of 500 samples 209(42%) were primi, 221(44%) were multi and 70(14%) were grand multi para.173(35%)women were less than 37 weeks, 321(64%) were in between 37-42weeks and 6(1.2%) were more than 42 weeks.429(86%) were having normal Hb level, 68(14%) were having mild anaemia and 3(0.6%) were having moderate anemia and none is having severe anemia(0%). 399(80%) women were undergone elective Caesarean Section and 101(20%) were undergone emergency Caesarean section.

TABLE 2 FREQUENCY AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY SAMPLES ACCORDING TO FACTORS AFFECTING CAESAREAN SECTION (n= 500):

| FACTORS AFFECTING CAESAREAN SECTION | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| PARAMETERS | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| OBSTETRICAL FACTORS | 365 | 73% |
| FETAL FACTORS | 100 | 20% |
| MEDICAL DISORDERS | 160 | 32.00% |
| OTHERS | 94 | 18.80% |

TABLE 2 shows that according to the factors affecting Caesarean section, 365(73%) were having Obstetrical factors, 100(20%) were having fetal factors, 160(32%) were having medical disorders and 94(18.8%) mothers had undergone Caesarean Section due to their self interest.

Among 500 mothers who had undergone for Caesarean section 183 mothers (36.6%) were having multiple factors and 63.4% are having single factor .

DISCUSSION

A caesarean section (C-section) delivery is a lifesaving surgical procedure for mothers and babies when certain complications appear during pregnancy or labor. According to a **WHO statement** published in 2015, the ideal rate for C-sections in a given population should be 10-15%.

The National Family Health Surveys (**NFHS**) of 2015 (NFHS-4) and 2019 (NFHS-5) together have sufficient data on the number of people opting for C-sections across India. NFHS-4 found that the C-section rate in India – 17.2% – was higher than the WHO-recommended limit. The Government of India released the NFHS-5 phase 1 data on December 12, and it has worse news.

Telangana has reported the most C-section deliveries in the past half-decade – a stunning 60.7% of all deliveries – followed by Andhra Pradesh (42.4%), Jammu and Kashmir (41.7%), Goa (39.5%) and Ladakh (37.6%)

As per the present study conducted in Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology in KIMS , PBMH, Bhubaneswar was found out to be 44.29%. This tertiary Private-run hospital provides health-care service to all classes of people from lower class to upper class.

The characteristics of variables i.e. factors indicating for Caesarean Section are described in terms of the frequency and percentage distribution which posturizes that :

The major indications for Caesarean Section was found out to be The Obstetrical factors (73%) which includes factors such as Cephalo Pelvic Disproportions(1%), Previous CS(18%), APH(6.2%), Pregnancy Induced hypertensive disorders(12%), malpresentation (4.6%), PPROM(9.8%), PROM(6.6%), Oligohydrominous(3.6%), Rh Negative(3.2%), Twin pregnancy(5.2%), Utero placental insufficiency(1%), post dated pregnancy(0.6%), preterm labor(0.4%), cord prolapse(0.4%), ruptured uterus(0.2%), non progression of labor(0.2%) and obstructed labor(0.4%), followed by Fetal factors(20%) which included fetal distress(15%), IUGR(4.6%) and hydrocephalus(0.4%) along with factors associated with Medical disorders(32%) which included Gestational Diabetes Mellitus(7.8%), hypothyroidism(20.4%), herpes infection(0.2%), sickle cell anaemia(0.6%), Rheumatoid arthritis(0.4%), UTI(0.2%), Rheumatic Heart Disease(0.6%), Beta thalasemia(0.2%) and asthma (1.6%) and other factor i.e. Self interest of mothers (18.8%). Among 500 mothers who had undergone for Caesarean section 183 mothers (36.6%) were having multiple factors.

CONCLUSION

Caesarean sections are effective in saving maternal and infant lives, but only when they are required for medically indicated reasons. Caesarean sections can cause significant and sometimes permanent complications, disability or death particularly in settings that lack the facilities and/or capacity to properly conduct safe surgery and treat surgical complications. Caesarean sections should ideally only be undertaken when medically necessary. Every effort should be made to provide Caesarean sections to women in need, rather than striving to achieve a specific rate.

The rate of Caesarean section is increasing with time. Individualization of the indication and careful evaluation, following standardize guidelines, practice of evidenced-based obstetric and audits in the institution can help us limit Caesarean section. Most of the Caesarean sections were elective Caesarean section as per the present study.

Prevalence of Caesarean section found to be high as compared to the WHO data. The most common indication of Caesarean section as per the present study was found to be the obstetrical factors (73%) followed by factors associated with Medical disorders (32%). Among 500 mothers who had undergone for Caesarean section 183 mothers (36.6%) were having multiple factors.

REFERENCES

1. Appropriate technology for birth. *Lancet*. 1985;2(8452):436-7.
2. Vogel JP, Betrán AP, Vindevoghel N, Souza JP, Torloni MR, Zhang J et al. on behalf of the WHO Multi-Country Survey on Maternal and Newborn Health Research Network. Use of the Robson classification to assess caesarean section trends in 21 countries: a secondary analysis of two WHO multicountry surveys. *Lancet Global Health* 2015;3(5):e260-70.
3. Ye J, Betran AP, Vela MG, Souza JP, Zhang J. Searching for the Optimal Rate of Medically Necessary Cesarean Delivery. *Birth*. 2014;41(3):237-43.
4. Hannah ME, Hannah WJ, Hewson SA, Hodnett ED, Saigal S, Willan AR. Planned caesarean section versus planned vaginal birth for breech presentation at term: a randomised multicentre trial. Term Breech Trial Collaborative Group. *Lancet*. 2000;356(9239):1375-83.
5. Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M, Gulmezoglu AM, Souza JP, Taneepanichskul S, Ruyan P, et al. Method of delivery and pregnancy outcomes in Asia: the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health 2007- 08. *Lancet*. 2010;375:490-9.
6. Villar J, Carroli G, Zavaleta N, Donner A, Wojdyla D, Faundes A, et al. Maternal and neonatal individual risks and benefits associated with caesarean delivery: multicentre prospective study. *BMJ*. 2007;335(7628):1025.
7. Souza JP, Gulmezoglu A, Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M, Carroli G, Fawole B, et al. Caesarean section without medical indications is associated with an increased risk of adverse short-term maternal outcomes: the 2004-2008 WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health. *BMC medicine*. 2010;8:71.
8. Monitoring emergency obstetric care: a handbook. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2009.
9. Victora CG, Barros FC. Beware: unnecessary caesarean sections may be hazardous. *Lancet*. 2006;367(9525):1796-7.

10. Steer PJ, Modi N. Elective caesarean sections--risks to the infant. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9691):675-6.
11. Caesarean section--the first cut isn't the deepest. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9719):956.
12. Mi J, Liu F. Rate of caesarean section is alarming in China. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9927):1463-4.
13. Gibbons L, Belizan JM, Lauer JA, Betran AP, Merialdi M, Althabe F. Inequities in the use of cesarean section deliveries in the world. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;206(4):331 e1-19.
14. Connolly ML. High caesarean section figures in Northern Ireland questioned: BBC News Northern Ireland; 2014 [cited 2014]. Available from: <http://www.bbc.com/news/uk-northern-ireland-27195161>.
15. What is the right number of caesarean sections? *Lancet*. 1997;349:815-6.
16. Torloni MR, Betran AP, Souza JP, Widmer M, Allen T, Gulmezoglu M, et al. Classifications for cesarean section: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6(1):e14566.
17. Betran AP, Vindevoghel N, Souza JP, Gulmezoglu AM, Torloni MR. A Systematic Review of the Robson Classification for Caesarean Section: What Works, Doesn't Work and How to Improve It. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(6):e97769.
18. Robson MS. Classification of caesarean sections. *Fetal and Maternal Medicine Review*. 2001;12(1):23-39.
19. Betran AP, Torloni MR, Zhang J, Ye J, Mikolajczyk R, Deneux-Tharaux C et al. What is the optimal rate of caesarean section at population level? A systematic review of ecologic studies. *Reprod Health*. 2015;12(1):57.
20. Ye J, Zhang J, Mikolajczyk R, Torloni MR, Gülmezoglu AM, Betrán AP. Association between rates of caesarean section and maternal and neonatal mortality in the 21st century: a worldwide population-based ecological study with longitudinal data. *BJOG*. 2015Aug 24 (Epub ahead of print).
21. Althabe F, Sosa C, Belizan JM, Gibbons L, Jacquerioz F, Bergel E. Cesarean section rates and maternal and neonatal mortality in low-, medium-, and high-income countries: an ecological study. *Birth*. 2006;33(4):270-7.
22. Robson M, Hartigan L, Murphy M. Methods of achieving and maintaining an appropriate caesarean section rate. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2013;27:297-30