



GENERALIZED SKEW DERIVATIONS IN SEMIPRIME RINGS

Cherukuri Ramanjaneyulu*

Dr. Dhananjaya Reddy**

*. Lecturer in Mathematics, Government Degree College, Rayachoty, A.P

** Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Government Degree College, Karvetinagaram, A.P

Abstract: This presents some skew derivations in semiprime rings. Let R be a semiprime ring, $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d and $H: R \rightarrow R$ be a left centralizer. If (i) $F(uv) \mp H(uv) = 0$ (ii) $F(uv) \mp H(vu) = 0$; (iii) $F(u)F(v) \mp H(uv) = 0$; (iv) $F(uv) \mp H(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$; (v) $F(uv) \mp H(vu) \in C_{1,\alpha}$; (vi) $F(u)F(v) \mp H(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$ for all $u, v \in R$.

Keywords: derivation, generalized derivation, left centralizer, semiprime ring, skew derivation and generalized skew derivation.

Introduction:

Bresar in [3], first time introduced the notion of generalized derivation. Daif et al. in [9], proved a result which is given as let R be a semiprime ring, I be a non zero ideal of R and d be a derivation on R such that $d([x, y]) = [x, y]$, for all $x, y \in I$, then $I \subseteq Z(R)$. In 2001, Ashraf et al. in [1], proved a result which is given as let R be a prime ring, I be a non zero two sided ideal of R and d be a derivation on R such that $d(xy) \pm xy \in Z(R)$, for all $x, y \in I$, then R is commutative. In 2003, Quadri et al. in [19] extended the result of Ashraf et al. in [2] on generalized derivation given as let R be a prime ring with characteristic different from two, I be a nonzero ideal of R and F be a generalized derivation on R associated with a derivation d on R such that $F([x, y]) = [x, y]$, for all $x, y \in I$, then R is commutative. In 2017, Didem K. Camci and Neset Aydin in [14] studied On Multiplicative (generalized)-derivation in semiprime rings. In 2000, C.L. Chung et al. and in [7] investigated on identities with skew derivations. In 2006, C.L. Chung et al. in [8] proved some results on skew derivations with annihilating Engel conditions. The skew derivations have been extensively studied by many researchers from various views (see for instance [13] and [18], where further references can be found). In 2004, T.K. Lee in [17] studied on generalized skew derivation characterized by acting on zero products. In 2006, H.W. Cheng et al. in [6] proved some results on Generalized skew derivations on rings. The generalized skew derivations have been extensively studied by

many researchers from various views [4,5,10,11,12,15,16,20,21,22], motivated by the results in this paper we prove some results on generalized skew derivations in semiprime rings.

Preliminaries:

Throughout this paper R denote an associative ring with center $C_{1,\alpha}$. Recall that a ring R is semiprime if $xRx = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$. For any $x, y \in R$, the symbol $[x, y]$ stands for the commutator $xy - yx$. The $(1, \alpha)$ -centre of R denoted by $C_{1,\alpha}$ and defined by $C_{1,\alpha} = \{c \in R: cr = \alpha(r)c, \text{ for all } r \in R\}$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a skew derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized derivation, if there exists a derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a generalized skew derivation of R , if there exists a skew derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $H: R \rightarrow R$ is called a left centralizer if $H(xy) = H(x)y$ for all $x, y \in R$, where α is automorphisms of R .

Throughout this paper, we shall make use of the basic commutator identities:

$$[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z; [xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]; [xy, z]_{1,\alpha} = x[y, z]_{1,\alpha} + [x, \alpha(z)]y.$$

Lemma 1: Let R be a semiprime ring. If $F: R \rightarrow R$ is a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d , then $d(uv) = d(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v)$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Proof:

$$\text{We have } F(u(vw)) = F(u)vw + \alpha(u)d(vw), \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{On the other hand, we have } F((uv)w) &= F(uv)w + \alpha(uv)d(w) \\ &= F(u)vw + \alpha(u)d(v)w + \alpha(uv)d(w), \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Equating equations (1) and equation (2), we get.

$$\begin{aligned} F(u)vw + \alpha(u)d(vw) &= F(u)vw + \alpha(u)d(v)w + \alpha(uv)d(w) \\ \alpha(u)(d(vw) - d(v)w - \alpha(v)d(w)) &= 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Left multiplying equation (3) by $d(vw) - d(v)w - \alpha(v)d(w)$, we get.

$$(d(vw) - d(v)w - \alpha(v)d(w))\alpha(u)(d(vw) - d(v)w - \alpha(v)d(w)) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \text{ Since } \alpha \text{ is an automorphism of } R, \text{ we get.}$$

$$(d(vw) - d(v)w - \alpha(v)d(w))R(d(vw) - d(v)w - \alpha(v)d(w)) = 0, \text{ for all } v, w \in R. \text{ Since } R \text{ is semiprime ring, we get.}$$

$$d(uv) = d(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v), \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \text{ That is, } d \text{ is a skew derivation.}$$

Lemma 2: Let R be semiprime ring and $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d . If $F(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$, then $F = 0$ and $d = 0$.

$$\text{Proof: We have } F(uv) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (4) \text{ By replacing}$$

u by uw in equation (4), we get

$$F(uwv) = 0$$

$$F(uw)v + \alpha(uw)d(v) = 0$$

Using equation (4) in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(uw)d(v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (5)$$

Left multiplying equation (5) by $\alpha(w)d(v)$, we get.

$\alpha(w)d(v)\alpha(u)\alpha(w)d(v) = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in R$. Since α is an automorphism of R , we get.

$\alpha(w)d(v)R\alpha(w)d(v) = 0$, for all $v, w \in R$. Since R is semiprime ring, we get.

$$\alpha(u)d(v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (6)$$

Left multiplying equation (6) by $d(v)$, we get.

$d(v)\alpha(u)d(v) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$. Since α is an automorphism of R , we get.

$d(v)Rd(v) = 0$, for all $v \in R$. Since R is semiprime ring, we get.

$$d(v) = 0, \text{ for all } v \in R. \quad (7)$$

By the hypothesis $F(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$.

$$F(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R.$$

Using equation (7) in the above equation, we get

$$F(u)v = 0, \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (8)$$

Right multiplying equation (8) by $F(u)$, we get.

$$F(u)vF(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R, \text{ we get.}$$

$F(u)RF(u) = 0$, for all $u \in R$. Since R is semiprime ring, we get.

$$F(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in R.$$

Lemma 3: Let R be a semiprime ring and $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d . If $F(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$, then $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Proof:

$$\text{We have } F(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (9)$$

By replacing v by vw in equation (9), we get

$$F(uvw) \in C_{1,\alpha}$$

$$F(uv)w + \alpha(uv)d(w) \in C_{1,\alpha}, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

Using equation (9) in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(uv)d(w) \in C_{1,\alpha}, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

$$[\alpha(uv)d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

$$\alpha(u)\alpha(v)[d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} + [\alpha(u)\alpha(v), \alpha(w)]d(w) = 0$$

$$\alpha(u)\alpha(v)[d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} + \alpha(u)[\alpha(v), \alpha(w)]d(w) + [\alpha(u), \alpha(w)]\alpha(v)d(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

By replacing v by w in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(u)\alpha(w)[d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} + [\alpha(u), \alpha(w)]\alpha(w)d(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in R.$$

By replacing w by u in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(u)\alpha(u)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u \in R. \quad (10)$$

Left multiplying equation (10) by $\alpha(u)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha}$, we get.

$$\alpha(u)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha}\alpha(u)\alpha(u)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u \in R. \text{ Since } \alpha \text{ is an automorphism, we get.}$$

$$\alpha(u)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha}R\alpha(u)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u \in R. \text{ Since } R \text{ is semiprime ring, we get.}$$

$$\alpha(u)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u \in R. \quad (11)$$

Left multiplying equation (11) by $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha}$, we get.

$[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} \alpha(u) [d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$. Since α is an automorphism of R , we get.

$[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} R [d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$. Since R is semiprime ring, we get.

$[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Lemma 4: Let R be a semiprime ring, $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d and $H: R \rightarrow R$ be a left centralizer. If the map $G: R \rightarrow R$ is defined as $G(u) = F(u) \mp H(u)$, for all $u \in R$, then G is a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d .

Proof:

Suppose that $G(u) = F(u) \mp H(u)$, for all $u \in R$. (12)

By replacing u by uv in equation (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(uv) &= F(uv) \mp H(uv) \\ &= F(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v) \mp H(u)v \\ &= (F(u) \mp H(u))v + \alpha(u)d(v), \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (12) in the above equation, we get

$$G(uv) = G(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v), \text{ for all } u, v \in R.$$

Then G is a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d .

Theorem 1: Let R be a semiprime ring, $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d and $H: R \rightarrow R$ be a left centralizer. If $F(uv) \mp H(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$, then $d = 0$. Moreover, $F(uv) = F(u)v$, for all $u, v \in R$ and $F = \pm H$.

Proof: By the hypothesis, we have $F(uv) - H(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Using equation (12) in the above equation, we get

So, we have $G(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Using lemma 2 and lemma 4, we get $G = 0$.

So, we have $F = H$. (13)

By the hypothesis, we have $F(uv) - H(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$.

$F(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v) - H(u)v = 0$, for all $x \in R$.

Using equation (13) in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(u)d(v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (14)$$

The equation (14) is same as equation (6) in lemma 2. Thus, by same argument of lemma 2, we can conclude the result $d(u) = 0$, for all $u \in R$. (15)

By the definition of F , we have $F(uv) = F(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v)$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Using equation (15) in the above equation, we get

$F(uv) = F(u)v$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Similar proof shows that the same conclusion holds as $F(uv) + H(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$. In this case, we obtain $F = -H$. Therefore, the proof is completed.

Theorem 2: Let R be a semiprime ring, $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d and $H: R \rightarrow R$ be a left centralizer. If $F(uv) \mp H(vu) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$, then $d = 0$. Moreover, $F(uv) = F(u)v$ for all $u, v \in R$ and $[F(u), u] = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Proof:

By the hypothesis, we have $F(uv) - H(vu) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$. (16)

By replacing v by vw in equation (16), we get

$$F(uvw) - H(vwu) = 0$$

$$F(uv)w + \alpha(uv)d(w) - H(vw)u = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

Using equation (16) in the above equation, we get

$$H(vu)w + \alpha(uv)d(w) - H(vw)u = 0$$

$$\alpha(uv)d(w) + H(v)[u, w] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (17)$$

By replacing w by u in equation (17), we get

$$\alpha(uv)d(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (18)$$

The equation (14) is same as equation (5) in lemma 2. Thus, by same argument of lemma 2, we can conclude the result $d(u) = 0$, for all $u \in R$. (19)

By the definition of F , we have $F(uv) = F(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v)$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Using equation (19) in the above equation, we get

$$F(uv) = F(u)v, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (20)$$

Using equation (19) in equation (17), we get

$$H(v)[u, w] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (21)$$

By replacing v by vw in equation (21), we get

$$H(vw)[u, w] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

Using equation (16) in the above equation, we get

$$F(wv)[u, w] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

Using equation (20) in the above equation, we get

$$F(w)v[u, w] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (22)$$

By replacing v by wv in equation (22), we get

$$F(w)wv[u, w] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (23)$$

Left multiplying equation (22) by w , we get.

$$wF(w)v[u, w] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (24)$$

By subtracting equation (24) from equation (23), we get

$$[F(w), w]v[u, w] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

By replacing u by $F(w)$ in the above equation, we get

$$[F(w), w]v[F(w), w] = 0, \text{ for all } v, w \in R.$$

Since α is an automorphism of R , we get $[F(w), w]R[F(w), w] = 0$, for all $w \in R$.

Since R is semiprime ring, we get $[F(u), u] = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Similar proof shows that the same conclusion holds as $F(uv) + H(vu) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$. Therefore, the proof is completed.

Theorem 3: Let R be a semiprime ring, $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d and $H: R \rightarrow R$ be a left centralizer. If $F(u)F(v) \mp H(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$, then $d = 0$. Moreover, $F(uv) = F(u)v$, for all $u, v \in R$ and $[F(u), u] = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Proof:

By the hypothesis, we have $F(u)F(v) - H(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$. (25)

By replacing v by vw in equation (25), we get

$$F(u)F(vw) - H(uvw) = 0$$

$$F(u)F(v)w + F(u)\alpha(v)d(w) - H(uv)w = 0$$

$$(F(u)F(v) - H(uv))w + F(u)\alpha(v)d(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

Using equation (25) in the above equation, we get

$$F(u)\alpha(v)d(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (26)$$

By replacing u by tu in equation (26) and using equation (26), we get

$$\alpha(t)d(u)\alpha(v)d(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w, t \in R.$$

By replacing v by vt and w by u in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(t)d(u)\alpha(v)\alpha(t)d(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, t \in R. \text{ Since } \alpha \text{ is an automorphism of } R, \text{ we get.}$$

$$\alpha(t)d(u)R\alpha(t)d(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, t \in R. \text{ Since } R \text{ is semiprime ring, we get.}$$

$$\alpha(t)d(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, t \in R. \quad (27)$$

The equation (27) is same as equation (6) in lemma 2. Thus, by same argument of lemma 2, we can conclude the result $d(u) = 0$, for all $u \in R$. (28)

Hence, from the definition of F , we get.

$$F(uv) = F(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v), \text{ for all } u, v \in R.$$

Using equation (28) in the above equation, we get

$$F(uv) = F(u)v, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (29)$$

By replacing u by uv in equation (25), we get

$$F(uv)F(v) - H(uvv) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R.$$

Using equation (29) in the above equation, we get

$$F(u)vF(v) - H(uv)v = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (30)$$

Right multiplying equation (25) by v , we get.

$$F(u)F(v)v - H(uv)v = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (31)$$

By subtracting equation (30) from equation (31), we get

$$F(u)[F(v), v] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R. \quad (32)$$

By replacing u by ur in equation (32) and using equation (28), we get

$$F(u)r[F(v), v] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, r \in R. \quad (33)$$

By replacing r by tr in equation (33), we get

$$F(u)tr[F(v), v] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, r, t \in R. \quad (34)$$

Left multiplying equation (33) by t , we get.

$$tF(u)r[F(v), v] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, r, t \in R. \quad (35)$$

By subtracting equation (35) from equation (34), we get

$$[F(u), t]r[F(v), v] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, r, t \in R.$$

By replacing t by u , v by u in the above equation and since α is an automorphism of R ,

we get $[F(u), u]R[F(u), u] = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Since R is semiprime ring, we get $[F(u), u] = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Similar proof shows that the same conclusion holds as $F(u)F(v) + H(uv) = 0$, for all $u, v \in R$. Therefore, the proof is completed.

Theorem 4: Let R be a semiprime ring, $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d and $H: R \rightarrow R$ be a left centralizer. If $F(uv) \mp H(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$, then $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Proof:

By the hypothesis, we have $F(uv) \mp H(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Using equation (12) in the above equation, we get

Therefore, we have $G(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Using lemma 3 and lemma 4, we get $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Theorem 5: Let R be a semiprime ring, $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d and $H: R \rightarrow R$ be a left centralizer. If $F(uv) \mp H(vu) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$, then $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Proof:

By the hypothesis, we have $F(uv) - H(vu) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$. (36)

By replacing v by vw in equation (36), we get

$$F(uvw) - H(vwu) \in C_{1,\alpha}$$

$$F(uv)w + \alpha(uv)d(w) - H(vw)u \in C_{1,\alpha}$$

$$(F(uv) - H(vu))w + \alpha(uv)d(w) + H(vu)w - H(vw)u \in C_{1,\alpha}$$

Using equation (36) in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(uv)d(w) + H(v)[u, w] \in C_{1,\alpha}, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

$$[\alpha(uv)d(w) + H(v)[u, w], w]_{1,\alpha} = 0$$

$$[\alpha(uv)d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} + [H(v)[u, w], w]_{1,\alpha} = 0$$

$$\alpha(uv)[d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} + [\alpha(uv), \alpha(w)]d(w) + [H(v)[u, w], w]_{1,\alpha} = 0$$

$$\alpha(uv)[d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} + \alpha(u)[\alpha(v), \alpha(w)]d(w) + [\alpha(u), \alpha(w)]\alpha(v)d(w) + [H(v)[u, w], w]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

By replacing w by u in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(uv)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} + \alpha(u)[\alpha(v), \alpha(u)]d(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R.$$

By replacing v by u in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(uu)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u \in R. \quad (37)$$

The equation (37) is same as equation (10) in lemma 3. Thus, by same argument of lemma 3, we can conclude the result $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Similar proof shows that the same conclusion holds as $F(uv) + H(vu) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$. Therefore, the proof is completed.

Theorem 6: Let R be a semiprime ring, $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized skew derivation associated with skew derivation d and $H: R \rightarrow R$ be a left centralizer. If $F(u)F(v) \mp H(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$, then $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Proof:

By the hypothesis, we have $F(u)F(v) - H(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$. (38)

By replacing v by vw in the equation (38), we get

$$F(u)F(vw) - H(uvw) \in C_{1,\alpha}$$

$$F(u)F(v)w + F(u)\alpha(v)d(w) - H(uv)w \in C_{1,\alpha}$$

$$(F(u)F(v) - H(uv))w + F(u)\alpha(v)d(w) \in C_{1,\alpha}, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

Using equation (38) in the above equation, we get

$$F(u)\alpha(v)d(w) \in C_{1,\alpha}, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R. \quad (39)$$

By replacing u by tu in equation (39) and using equation (39), we get

$$\alpha(t)d(u)\alpha(v)d(w) \in C_{1,\alpha}$$

$$[\alpha(t)d(u)\alpha(v)d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w, t \in R.$$

By replacing $\alpha(t)d(u)$ by $\alpha(u)$ in the above equation, we get

$$[\alpha(uv)d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in R.$$

$$\alpha(uv)[d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} + [\alpha(uv), \alpha(w)] = 0$$

$$\alpha(uv)[d(w), w]_{1,\alpha} + \alpha(u)[\alpha(v), \alpha(w)] + [\alpha(u), \alpha(w)]\alpha(v) = 0$$

By replacing w by u in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(uv)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} + \alpha(u)[\alpha(v), \alpha(u)] = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in R.$$

By replacing v by u in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha(uu)[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u \in R. \quad (40)$$

The equation (40) is same as equation (10) in lemma 3. Thus, by same argument of lemma 3, we can conclude the result $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$, for all $u \in R$.

Similar proof shows that the same conclusion holds as $F(u)F(v) + H(uv) \in C_{1,\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in R$.

Therefore, the proof is completed.

References

- [1] Ashraf, M. and Rehman, N.: On derivations and commutativity in prime rings, East-west J.Math 9 (1) (2001), 87-91.
- [2] Ashraf, M. and Rehman, N.: On commutativity of rings with derivations, Results Math. 42(2002), 3-8.
- [3] Bresar, M.: On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations, Glasgow Math. J. 33(1991), 89-93.
- [4] Chang, J.C.: Generalized skew derivations with annihilating Engel conditions, Taiwanese J. Math. vol.7, pp.103-113, 2008.
- [5] Chang, J.C.: Generalized skew derivations with nilpotent values on Lie ideals, Monatsh. Math. vol. 161, pp.155-160, 2010.
- [6] Cheng, H.W. and Wei, F.: Generalized skew derivations on rings, Adv. Math. (China) vol. 35, pp. 237-243 2006.
- [7] Chuang, C.L.: Identities with skew derivations, J. Algebra, 224 (2000), 292- 335.
- [8] Chuang, C.L., Chou, M.C. and Liu, C.K.: Skew derivations with annihilating Engel conditions, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 68 (2006), 161-170.
- [9] Daif, M.N. and Bell, H.E.: Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings, Internat J. Math. Math. Sci. 15(1992), 205-206.

- [10] De Filippis, V.: Annihilators and power values of generalized skew derivations on Lie ideals, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 59 (2) (2016) 258–270.
- [11] De Filippis, V. and Di Vincenzo, O. M.: Generalized skew derivations on semiprime rings, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 63 (5) (2015) 927–939.
- [12] De Filippis, V. and Di Vincenzo, O. M.: Generalized skew derivations and nilpotent values on Lie ideals, *Algebra Colloq.*, 26 (2019), 589-614.
- [13] De Filippis, V. and Huang, S.: Power-commuting skew derivations on Lie ideals. *Monatsh Math.* 177 (2015) 363–372.
- [14] Didem, K.C. and Neset Aydin: On Multiplicative (generalized)-derivation in semiprime rings, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Auk. Ser. A 1 Math. Stat. Vol* 66(1) (2017), 153-164.
- [15] Eroglu, M. and Arga, N.C.: Power values of generalized skew derivations with annihilator conditions on Lie ideals, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, 46 (2020), 1583- 1598.
- [16] Gurninder Singh Sandhu.: On Lie ideals and generalized skew derivations in prime rings, *The Aligarh Bulletin of Mathematics Volume* 39, Number 1 (2020), 89-102.
- [17] Lee, T.K.: Generalized skew derivations characterized by acting on zero products, *Pacific J. Math.* vol. 216, pp. 293-301, 2004.
- [18] Nadeem ur Rehman and Shuliang Huang.: On commutativity of prime rings with skew derivations, *Communications in Mathematics* 31 (2023), no. 1, 169–177.
- [19] Quadri, M.A., Khan, M.S. and Rehman, N.: Generalized derivations and commutativity of rings, *Indian J. Pure App. Math.* 34(2003), 1393-1396.
- [20] Sharma, R. K., Dhara, B., De Filippis, V. and Garg, C.: A result concerning nilpotent values with generalized skew derivations on Lie ideals, *Comm. Algebra*, 46 (2018), 5330-5341.
- [21] Yarbil, N. and Arga, N. C.: Annihilators of power values of generalized skew derivations on Lie ideals, *Algebra and its applications*, 307-316, *De Gruyter Proc. Math.*, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.
- [22] Dr. Dhananjaya Reddy: Reverse Jordan*_ generalized derivations on semi prime rings, *Mathematical sciences international research journal* : volume 5 issue 2 (2016), 6-9