



# THE ROLE OF POPULISM IN MODERN DEMOCRACIES

**\*Dr Latha C V. Associate Professor of Political Science, Govt. First Grade College, Madhugiri.**

## **Abstract:**

*Populism has emerged as a defining force in modern democracies, influencing political discourse, electoral outcomes, and governance structures across the world. It is characterized by a rhetoric that divides society into two opposing groups—the "pure people" and the "corrupt elite"—with populist leaders presenting themselves as the true representatives of the common citizen. While populism can appear across the political spectrum, ranging from left-wing movements advocating economic justice to right-wing nationalism emphasizing cultural identity, its impact on democracy remains a subject of debate. On one hand, populism can serve as a corrective mechanism in democratic systems by highlighting the grievances of marginalized groups, increasing political engagement, and challenging entrenched elites. It brings issues such as economic inequality, political corruption, and social exclusion to the forefront of public debate, making governance more responsive to popular demands. However, populism also carries significant risks, including the erosion of democratic institutions, weakening of checks and balances, and intensification of political polarization. Populist leaders often bypass established institutions, delegitimize opposition, and promote majoritarianism, undermining the pluralistic foundations of democracy.*

*The impact of populism on modern democracies depends on how it interacts with institutional frameworks and whether it respects democratic principles. While some populist movements operate within democratic norms, others weaken institutional safeguards and threaten the rule of law. Strengthening democratic institutions, fostering political inclusivity, and promoting civic engagement are essential to mitigating the negative effects of populism while harnessing its potential to enhance democratic responsiveness. Understanding the dual nature of populism is crucial for ensuring that democracies remain resilient in the face of its challenges.*

**Keywords:** Role, Populism, Modern Democracies.

## **INTRODUCTION:**

Democracy is a system of government in which power is vested in the hands of the people, either directly or through elected representatives. It is characterized by free and fair elections, the rule of law, protection of fundamental rights, and political participation. The core principle of democracy is popular sovereignty, meaning that the legitimacy of the government is derived from the consent of the governed. There are different forms of democracy, including direct democracy, where citizens participate in decision-making without intermediaries, and representative democracy, where elected officials make decisions on behalf of the people. Most modern democracies follow a representative model, ensuring governance through institutions such as parliaments, courts, and executive bodies.

Democracies uphold key values like freedom of speech, equality, and accountability. They provide mechanisms for resolving conflicts, ensuring justice, and protecting minority rights. However, the functioning of democracy depends on the strength of its institutions, civic engagement, and adherence to democratic norms. While democracy promotes political inclusivity and individual liberties, it is also challenged by issues such as populism, misinformation, corruption, and polarization. The effectiveness of a democracy depends on how well it balances majority rule with the protection of rights and institutional integrity. Democracy is not just a political system but also a dynamic process that evolves with societal changes. It thrives on active citizen participation, transparency, and respect for diverse perspectives, making it the most widely accepted form of governance in the modern world.

## **OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:**

This study explores the Role of Populism in Modern Democracies.

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:**

This study is based on secondary sources of data such as articles, books, journals, research papers, websites and other sources.

## **THE ROLE OF POPULISM IN MODERN DEMOCRACIES**

Populism has become an increasingly influential force in modern democracies, shaping political discourse, electoral outcomes, and governance structures across the world. While the term "populism" is often used with negative connotations, its role in democracy is complex and multifaceted. Populism has the potential to both invigorate and undermine democratic institutions, depending on how it manifests and interacts with existing political structures. It can serve as a corrective force by bringing attention to neglected issues and marginalized communities, but it can also pose risks by fostering polarization and weakening institutional checks and balances. Populism, as a political phenomenon, is difficult to define with precision, as it manifests differently across regions and ideologies. However, at its core, populism is characterized by a dichotomy between "the people" and "the elite." Populist leaders and movements claim to represent the general will of the people against a corrupt or out-of-touch elite. This people-versus-elite dynamic is a defining feature of populist rhetoric, regardless of whether it is employed by left-wing or right-

wing political actors. Left-wing populism typically emphasizes economic inequality, corporate power, and social justice, whereas right-wing populism often focuses on issues related to national identity, immigration, and cultural preservation. Despite these ideological differences, both forms of populism share a common reliance on direct appeals to the public and skepticism toward established institutions.

The rise of populism in modern democracies can be attributed to several interrelated factors. Economic discontent is one of the most significant drivers of populist sentiment. In many countries, economic globalization, automation, and financial crises have contributed to job losses, wage stagnation, and growing inequality. Populist leaders capitalize on these grievances by promising to restore economic stability and protect national industries from foreign competition. The 2008 global financial crisis, for example, fueled a wave of populist movements by exposing the vulnerabilities of neoliberal economic policies and deepening public distrust in financial institutions.

Another key factor in the rise of populism is political alienation. Many citizens feel disconnected from traditional political parties and institutions, believing that mainstream politicians do not adequately represent their interests. This perception has been exacerbated by scandals, corruption, and a perceived lack of accountability among political elites. Populist leaders present themselves as outsiders who can break the cycle of ineffective governance and bring decision-making power back to the people. This anti-establishment appeal resonates particularly strongly in democracies where public trust in government is low.

Cultural and social anxieties also play a crucial role in the spread of populism. Rapid demographic changes, immigration, and evolving social norms have created a sense of uncertainty and fear among certain segments of the population. Right-wing populists, in particular, exploit these anxieties by positioning themselves as defenders of national identity and traditional values. This form of populism often involves anti-immigration rhetoric, skepticism toward multiculturalism, and opposition to perceived threats to cultural heritage. Left-wing populists, on the other hand, focus on social justice issues, advocating for greater inclusivity and challenging systemic discrimination. In both cases, populist movements thrive on the perception that the status quo is failing to address the concerns of ordinary citizens.

The impact of populism on democratic institutions and governance varies widely depending on the political context in which it emerges. In some cases, populism serves as a revitalizing force, bringing neglected issues to the forefront of public debate and increasing political participation. By challenging entrenched power structures, populists can expose corruption, push for reforms, and energize voters who feel disillusioned with conventional politics. In democracies where elites have become insulated from public opinion, populism can act as a corrective mechanism that realigns political priorities with the will of the people. However, populism also carries significant risks, particularly when it erodes democratic norms and institutions. One of the most concerning aspects of populism is its tendency to undermine checks and balances. Populist leaders often portray institutions such as the judiciary, media, and bureaucracy as obstacles to the will of the people. In extreme cases, this can lead to efforts to weaken independent institutions, consolidate power, and limit dissent. Democratic backsliding is a frequent consequence of populist rule, as leaders seek to bypass institutional constraints and rule with greater authority. Examples of

this phenomenon can be observed in countries where populist governments have curtailed press freedoms, manipulated electoral processes, or weakened judicial independence.

Populism also contributes to political polarization, making it more difficult to achieve consensus and compromise in democratic governance. The populist worldview tends to divide society into "us" versus "them," fostering an adversarial political climate. This division can manifest in various ways, such as hostility toward the media, vilification of political opponents, and a refusal to engage in constructive dialogue. As a result, populist movements often deepen societal fractures rather than bridge them, making democratic governance more challenging. When political discourse becomes dominated by populist rhetoric, policymaking can become more about symbolic gestures than pragmatic solutions.

Another challenge posed by populism is its reliance on direct democracy mechanisms, such as referendums and mass mobilization, at the expense of representative institutions. While direct democracy can be a valuable tool for expressing popular will, it can also be used to bypass deliberative processes and undermine the role of elected representatives. Populist leaders often use referendums to legitimize controversial policies, framing opposition as an attack on democracy itself. This approach can weaken parliamentary institutions and reduce the effectiveness of checks and balances that are essential for democratic stability. Despite these challenges, populism is not inherently anti-democratic. In fact, its emphasis on popular sovereignty aligns with core democratic principles. The challenge lies in ensuring that populist movements operate within the framework of democratic norms and institutions rather than undermining them. Some populist movements have successfully integrated into the democratic system without dismantling institutional safeguards. For example, certain left-wing populist parties in Europe have pursued progressive reforms while maintaining respect for democratic processes. Similarly, some right-wing populist leaders have managed to govern without eroding the rule of law. The key determinant of whether populism strengthens or weakens democracy is how it interacts with existing institutions and whether it respects fundamental democratic principles.

One potential way to mitigate the negative effects of populism is through institutional resilience. Strong democratic institutions, such as an independent judiciary, free press, and robust civil society, can act as safeguards against authoritarian tendencies. When institutions maintain their integrity and independence, they can provide necessary checks on populist excesses while still allowing space for populist movements to contribute to democratic debate. Additionally, fostering political pluralism and encouraging inclusive governance can help address the grievances that fuel populist movements. When citizens feel that their concerns are heard and addressed through conventional political channels, they may be less likely to turn to radical populist alternatives.

Another approach to managing populism is through responsible political leadership. Traditional political parties and leaders must engage with the concerns that drive populist sentiment rather than dismissing them outright. Addressing economic inequality, enhancing transparency, and improving political representation can help reduce the appeal of populist movements. Moreover, fostering a political culture that values

dialogue, compromise, and respect for democratic institutions can counteract the divisive effects of populist rhetoric.

## Case Study 1: Narendra Modi and Right-Wing Populism

Narendra Modi's political ascent is one of the most significant examples of populism in India. His leadership has been characterized by a strong nationalist narrative, an appeal to the common people against the political elite, and a promise of economic transformation. His brand of populism is rooted in a mix of Hindu nationalism, economic development, and anti-elitist rhetoric.

### The Rise of Modi's Populism

Modi's populist appeal can be traced back to his tenure as Chief Minister of Gujarat (2001–2014), where he cultivated an image of a leader who prioritized economic growth, infrastructure development, and governance reforms. He portrayed himself as a self-made man from a humble background, often contrasting himself against the entrenched political elite, particularly the Congress Party's Nehru-Gandhi dynasty. His 2014 general election campaign capitalized on widespread dissatisfaction with the United Progressive Alliance (UPA) government, which was perceived as corrupt and inefficient. The campaign slogan "**Sabka Saath, Sabka Vikas**" (Together with all, Development for all) was a populist appeal that promised economic prosperity, job creation, and an end to crony capitalism. Modi presented himself as an outsider challenging the established elite, a classic populist strategy. His communication style, including his use of social media and mass rallies, reinforced his direct connection with the people.

### Populist Policies and Governance

After assuming office, Modi's government implemented several populist policies that reinforced his image as a leader working for the people. Some of these policies include:

1. **Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY)** – This financial inclusion scheme aimed at providing banking services to millions of unbanked Indians, particularly targeting the economically weaker sections.
2. **Ujjwala Yojana** – A program to provide free LPG connections to women from poor households, promoting welfare and addressing basic needs.
3. **Demonetization (2016)** – Modi's decision to demonetize ₹500 and ₹1,000 currency notes was presented as a bold move against corruption and black money. While it caused economic disruptions, it reinforced his populist image as a leader taking tough decisions against the corrupt elite.
4. **Abrogation of Article 370 (2019)** – The revocation of Jammu and Kashmir's special status was framed as a nationalist move aimed at integrating the region fully into India, appealing to his core support base.

## Challenges to Democratic Institutions

While Modi's populism has been electorally successful, it has also raised concerns about democratic backsliding. His government has been criticized for curbing press freedoms, sidelining dissent, and weakening institutional checks. The rise of majoritarian nationalism under his leadership has also contributed to polarization, particularly in the context of religious and ethnic minorities. Critics argue that his administration has used populist rhetoric to delegitimize opposition parties, branding them as anti-national or corrupt. The use of central agencies to investigate political opponents and the passage of laws such as the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) have been seen as moves that consolidate power while reinforcing populist narratives. Despite these concerns, Modi's populism continues to resonate with a significant portion of the Indian electorate, demonstrating the enduring appeal of nationalist and development-driven populist strategies.

### Case Study 2: Arvind Kejriwal and Anti-Establishment Populism

Arvind Kejriwal and the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) represent another variant of Indian populism—one that is centered on anti-corruption, direct democracy, and grassroots governance. Unlike Modi's right-wing populism, Kejriwal's populism is more aligned with progressive, citizen-centric policies and a critique of elite corruption.

#### Origins of AAP and Kejriwal's Populist Appeal

The Aam Aadmi Party emerged from the anti-corruption movement led by activist Anna Hazare in 2011. Kejriwal, a former bureaucrat, positioned himself as a crusader against the corrupt political elite, challenging both the Congress and the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP). His movement gained traction among urban middle-class and lower-income voters who were disillusioned with traditional politics. AAP's 2013 Delhi Assembly election campaign was built on the promise of clean governance, accountability, and public participation in decision-making. The party's name itself—"Aam Aadmi" (Common Man)—was a direct populist appeal that framed the movement as a fight between ordinary citizens and the ruling elite.

#### Populist Governance and Policy Measures

Kejriwal's governance model has been characterized by welfare-oriented policies, citizen participation, and direct engagement with the electorate. Some key initiatives include:

1. **Subsidized Electricity and Water** – AAP's government introduced heavily subsidized electricity and water tariffs, appealing to the lower and middle classes.
2. **Mohalla Clinics** – A revolutionary healthcare initiative that brought free primary healthcare services to local neighborhoods.
3. **Free Bus Rides for Women** – A policy aimed at promoting gender equality and easing financial burdens on working-class women.
4. **Education Reforms** – AAP invested significantly in improving government schools, including better infrastructure and teacher training.

These measures reinforced AAP's populist positioning as a party that directly addresses the needs of common citizens. Kejriwal often emphasizes his government's performance through direct outreach, town halls, and social media, bypassing traditional political intermediaries.

### **Conflict with Institutions and Political Opponents**

Like other populist leaders, Kejriwal has had frequent clashes with established institutions. His confrontations with Delhi's Lieutenant Governor, the judiciary, and the central government reflect his populist strategy of portraying himself as a victim of bureaucratic and political conspiracies. AAP has also engaged in strong rhetoric against mainstream media, accusing it of being biased and controlled by elite interests. Critics argue that Kejriwal's populism sometimes leads to governance challenges. His government's reliance on direct democracy methods, such as public referendums on key policies, raises concerns about institutional decision-making processes. Additionally, while AAP initially emerged as an anti-establishment movement, its expansion into Punjab and other states has led to accusations of political opportunism and traditional power-seeking behavior. Despite these criticisms, Kejriwal's brand of populism has been largely successful in Delhi, with AAP winning consecutive elections by appealing to working-class voters and positioning itself as an alternative to traditional parties.

### **CONCLUSION:**

Populism plays a complex and dual role in modern democracies, acting as both a corrective force and a potential threat to democratic stability. On one hand, it amplifies the voices of marginalized groups, challenges entrenched political elites, and increases public engagement in governance. By addressing economic inequalities, corruption, and social grievances, populism can revitalize democracy and make political systems more responsive to the needs of ordinary citizens. However, the dangers of populism cannot be overlooked. When leaders prioritize personal authority over institutional checks and balances, populism can weaken democratic norms, erode judicial independence, and fuel political polarization. The tendency of populist movements to delegitimize opposition and suppress dissent threatens the pluralistic foundation of democracy. In extreme cases, it can lead to democratic backsliding, where elected leaders consolidate power and undermine fundamental rights. The future of democracy in a populist age depends on the ability of institutions to remain resilient and adaptable. Strengthening democratic processes, ensuring media freedom, and fostering inclusive governance can mitigate the negative effects of populism while preserving its potential to drive positive change. Ultimately, balancing populist energy with democratic safeguards is essential for maintaining stable and vibrant democracies in the modern world.

### **REFERENCES:**

1. **Mudde, C. (2017).** *Populism: A very short introduction* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
2. **Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018).** *How democracies die*. Crown Publishing Group.
3. **Laclau, E. (2005).** *On populist reason*. Verso Books.
4. **Mounk, Y. (2018).** *The people vs. democracy: Why our freedom is in danger and how to save it*. Harvard University Press.
5. **Norris, P., & Inglehart, R. (2019).** *Cultural backlash: Trump, Brexit, and authoritarian populism*. Cambridge University Press.