IJRAR.ORG E-ISSN: 2348-1269, P-ISSN: 2349-5138

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH AND ANALYTICAL REVIEWS (IJRAR) | IJRAR.ORG

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Unsupervised Deep Learning Approach for Detection of Anomalies in Hyperspectral images

[1]Thokala Brundha, [2]Venkata Sriram Kamarajugadda, [3] Y.Pavan Narsimha Rao, [4]A. Ratna Raju

[1,2] Student, [3,4]Assistant Professor

[1]Department of MCT(Minor in AIML),[2]Department of ECE,[3,4]Department of CSE,Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology(A),Gandipet,Hyderabad,500075,India

A B S T R A C T

Hyperspectral imaging (HSI) captures detailed spectral data across numerous bands, enabling precise material detection beyond traditional imaging. It is extensively applied in sectors like agriculture, environmental monitoring, and defense. However, the vast size and dimensionality of HSI data present major processing and analysis challenges.

This project introduces an unsupervised deep learning framework using autoencoders, attention mechanisms, and spectral-spatial learning to detect anomalies. It operates without labeled data and uses Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) for target comparison. The model is validated on AVIRIS and Hyperion datasets to ensure robustness.

A Streamlit-based dashboard supports real-time data input, anomaly visualization, and geolocation mapping. The system offers a scalable solution for real-world applications such as disaster response and surveillance. With future edge deployment and sensor fusion, it promises intelligent and automated HSI analysis.

Keywords: Hyperspectral Imaging (HSI), Anomaly Detection, Unsupervised Learning, Autoencoders, Spectral-Spatial Features, Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM), Deep Learning, Geolocation Mapping, Streamlit Dashboard, Remote Sensing Applications.

1. Introduction

Hyperspectral imaging (HSI) is an advanced remote sensing technology that captures image data across hundreds of narrow and contiguous spectral bands. Unlike conventional RGB or multispectral imaging, HSI provides a complete spectral profile for each pixel in a scene, enabling detailed material identification, classification, and analysis. This high spectral resolution allows for precise detection of subtle differences in surface composition, making HSI invaluable in a wide range of applications including mineral exploration, agriculture, environmental monitoring, defence surveillance, and disaster management. However, while its potential is immense, the sheer volume and high dimensionality of hyperspectral data pose serious challenges in terms of storage, processing, and meaningful interpretation.

One of the most critical tasks in hyperspectral data analysis is anomaly detection—identifying pixels or regions that deviate from expected spectral patterns. Anomalies can indicate critical events such as chemical spills, illegal mining, diseased crops, or concealed threats in defence scenarios. Traditional anomaly detection methods often rely on manual interpretation or supervised learning models that require extensive labelled data, which is costly and time consuming to obtain. Moreover, handcrafted features and fixed thresholds used in classical approaches may not generalize well across different environments or sensor configurations. This has motivated the shift towards unsupervised learning techniques that can autonomously discover patterns and deviations within the data without prior labelling.

This project proposes an unsupervised deep learning framework to tackle the challenges of hyperspectral anomaly detection. The objective is to develop models that can learn rich spectral-spatial representations and accurately identify anomalies using techniques such as autoencoders, attention mechanisms, and generative models. These methods aim to reduce redundancy, improve detection precision, and scale effectively for real-time use. By validating the approach on benchmark datasets such as AVIRIS and Hyperion, the project strives to deliver a robust and generalizable solution that bridges the gap between complex hyperspectral data and practical, actionable insights for critical applications.

Problem Statement

Hyperspectral imaging (HSI) offers a unique advantage in detecting materials and anomalies by capturing data across hundreds of narrow spectral bands. However, the high dimensionality of HSI data, combined with complex and cluttered backgrounds, makes accurate analysis difficult. Traditional methods such as statistical and clustering-based approaches rely on predefined rules and struggle to adapt to unknown or subtle anomalies, often resulting in poor accuracy and high false-positive rates.

Additionally, the computational complexity of processing large hyperspectral datasets limits the applicability of these methods in real-time scenarios. This is especially problematic when targets are spectrally like their surroundings, making detection unreliable. To overcome these challenges, this project proposes an unsupervised AI/ML-based anomaly detection system that learns spectral-spatial features automatically. The goal is to deliver a robust, efficient, and scalable solution capable of accurate target identification across diverse and dynamic environments.

Existing System

Traditional systems for anomaly detection in hyperspectral imaging rely on statistical methods, transform-based techniques, clustering algorithms, and deep learning-based models. Statistical approaches, such as the Reed-Xiaoli Detector (RXD), identify anomalies by analysing spectral data but are computationally expensive and prone to high false-positive rates in heterogeneous backgrounds. Transform-based methods, such as Fractional Fourier Transform (FrFT), enhance spectral features but often require extensive parameter tuning and are inefficient for real-time applications. Clustering algorithms like K-means attempt to group similar pixels but face challenges in handling the high dimensionality of hyperspectral data and often fail to provide accurate results in complex scenarios. Deep learning models, including Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), have shown potential but are typically reliant on labelled datasets, making them less effective for detecting unknown anomalies. Additionally, these systems do not provide robust mechanisms for target detection, as they lack tools to match detected anomalies with known spectral signatures.

This limits their ability to classify or validate specific targets, such as pollutants, illegal waste dumping, or camouflaged objects in defence applications. Furthermore, the absence of real time processing capabilities in most existing systems makes them unsuitable for dynamic environments where rapid decision-making is critical. These limitations highlight the need for a scalable and efficient solution that integrates real-time anomaly and target detection in hyperspectral imaging.

Proposed System

The proposed system for anomaly detection and target identification in hyperspectral image processing leverages advanced AI/ML and image processing techniques, implemented primarily in Python due to its robust ecosystem and ease of integration.

The architecture utilizes libraries such as NumPy, OpenCV, PyTorch, Matplotlib, Pandas, SciPy, and scikit-learn to handle high-dimensional hyperspectral data effectively. The system is designed to detect anomalous regions by identifying deviations from normal spectral-spatial patterns and further supports target identification based on known spectral characteristics. Real-time visualization tools enable interactive display of detected anomalies and targets directly on the hyperspectral image, while geospatial mapping capabilities associate these findings with geographical coordinates when available. To manage computational complexity, the system processes images in smaller patches, ensuring faster and more efficient analysis. Additionally, it features spectral signature extraction from anomalies, allowing comparisons with predefined spectral libraries or further investigation into material properties. This comprehensive approach ensures accurate detection, precise localization, and real-time insights, making it suitable for applications in surveillance, remote sensing, environmental monitoring, and defence.

How It Improves on Existing Solutions:

- **Label-Free Learning Capability**
  Unlike traditional deep learning models that rely heavily on labelled data, the proposed system uses unsupervised learning. This removes the need for manual labelling, making it effective in detecting unknown or novel anomalies in dynamic environments.

- **Real-Time Processing and Visualization**
  Existing systems often lack real-time performance due to computational overhead. The proposed architecture supports patch-wise processing and integrates a Streamlit dashboard for interactive, real-time anomaly visualization and geolocation mapping.

- **Spectral-Spatial Feature Learning**
  Whereas classical methods like RXD and K-means ignore spatial context, this system combines spectral and spatial features using 3D convolutional autoencoders and attention mechanisms, resulting in improved detection precision and lower false positives.

- **Target Validation via Spectral Signature Matching**
  Traditional models do not match anomalies with known targets. The proposed solution incorporates Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) to compare detected anomalies against spectral libraries, allowing specific target identification (e.g., pollutants or camouflaged threats).

- **Scalability and Modularity with Python Ecosystem**
  While earlier approaches suffer from tuning and integration difficulties, the proposed model is built in Python using scalable libraries (e.g., NumPy, PyTorch, OpenCV). This ensures modularity, extensibility, and ease of deployment on varied datasets and platforms.

2. Literature Review

[1] Joseph Hang, Y. Liang, Ming Li (2022): "Water Pollution Classification Using Hyperspectral Imaging":

This study developed an HSI-to-RGB conversion algorithm to facilitate accurate classification of water pollution using hyperspectral images. By applying deep learning models such as HSIDLNN, the authors improved detection accuracy in identifying water contaminants. Their system provided significant improvements over traditional RGB-based classification techniques. The key innovation was in extracting spectral features linked to pollution markers like dissolved oxygen demand. However, the study was limited by the restricted use of HSI in real-world water pollution scenarios and did not fully explore biological oxygen demand as a reliable pollutant marker.

[2] Virtue Yao, Wei Li, Zhenwei Zhang (2022): "Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection: A Deep Learning Overview":

Published in IEEE, this work offers a comprehensive review of existing supervised, semisupervised, and unsupervised deep learning models for hyperspectral anomaly detection. It highlighted techniques such as autoencoders, GANs, and attention-based models for extracting spectral-spatial features. The authors also introduced evaluation metrics like ROC and AUC for performance comparison across datasets. While the study successfully consolidated recent progress in deep learning for HSI, it pointed out the lack of real-time processing capability and the need for better generalization in dynamic environments as ongoing challenges.

[3] Mohana Ephraim, Abhinav Ramesh, Maryam Yassine (2022): "Clustering-Based Background Modelling in Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection":

This paper proposed a background modelling method using the Constrained Bandwidth Learning (CBL) approach to improve anomaly detection stability. The methodology was effective in producing consistent results across varied backgrounds and scenes. However, the model faced difficulties in processing high-resolution hyperspectral data and exhibited limitations in terms of computational efficiency and classifier performance, particularly when scaling to larger datasets.

[4] Maryam Yassine, Hisham Goma, Ghassan Hassan (2022): "Multi perspective Fusion for Hyperspectral Image Anomaly Detection":

The authors introduced a Multi perspective Fusion (MPF) framework that combined spectral and spatial features from different viewing angles to enhance anomaly detection accuracy. The model achieved high performance across multiple datasets and improved feature representation. Nevertheless, it suffered from high computational overhead and required adaptive classifier integration (e.g., SVM), which affected its efficiency in real-time applications.

[5] Chen L. Chang, Chung-Han Lin, Yue Yu (2022): "Target-to-Anomaly Detection in Hyperspectral Imagery":

In this IEEE publication, the researchers presented a target-to-anomaly conversion method using Local Convex Modelling (LCM) and adaptive learning algorithms. The proposed TACAD approach showed strong performance in cluttered scenes and effectively handled complex targets. Despite its strengths, the approach was sensitive to non-Gaussian noise and required extensive parameter tuning, limiting its robustness and applicability in noisy environments.

[6] Zhuang Yu, Zhe Zhao, Yifan Zhang (2021): "An Efficient and Robust System for Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection":

This study utilized Spectral-Spatial Structured Clustering (SSSC) combined with Fractional Fourier Transform (FrFT) to reduce false alarms and improve anomaly detection precision. The system offered better localization and detection in comparison to standard PCA methods. However, it lacked transparency in explaining feature contributions and faced challenges in interpretability, which are crucial for deployment in real-world, field-based systems.

[7] Y. Wang, J. Zhang, Z. Li (2024): "An Unsupervised Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection Method Using Spatial Attention Mechanisms":

This paper proposed a spatial attention-based unsupervised learning model that enhances feature learning by focusing on spatially important regions within hyperspectral images. The method achieved improved detection accuracy and interpretability by integrating spectral-spatial correlations. While promising, it was computationally intensive and required GPU acceleration for real-time performance.

[8] L. Duan, T. Li, H. Zhang (2024): "Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection via Low-Rank and Total Variation Regularization":

The authors designed a low-rank representation model with total variation regularization to isolate anomalies while preserving background smoothness. The model performed well in cluttered backgrounds and complex noise conditions. However, it struggled with scalability and required careful parameter tuning to avoid overfitting in large-scale datasets.

[9] X. Liu, S. Yu (2024): "Plug-and-Play Proximal Block Coordinate Descent for Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection":

This study introduced a mathematically convergent optimization algorithm using plug-and-play proximal techniques. It was effective in iterative noise removal and anomaly localization. Despite its accuracy, the model was highly iterative in nature, leading to increased inference times and making it less suitable for rapid detection in real-time scenarios.

[10] J. Ma, W. Xie, Y. Li (2024): "Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection with Human Vision-Inspired Small Target Detectors":

Inspired by human visual sensitivity to small deviations, the authors proposed a small-target aware detection algorithm tailored for hyperspectral data. The system effectively highlighted minor anomalies that were otherwise lost in traditional processing. Its limitation lay in its sensitivity to ambient noise, which sometimes led to false positives in naturally varying environments.

Key Technologies used:

The Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection System integrates a variety of modern artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and image processing frameworks to deliver a highly accurate, real-time, and interpretable anomaly detection platform. Designed for large-scale hyperspectral data, the application employs multiple modules for data preprocessing, unsupervised deep learning, visualization, and spectral analysis. The overall description of the technology stack is given below:

- **Deep Learning Framework (PyTorch):**
  The project uses PyTorch to develop an unsupervised deep learning model based on 3D convolutional autoencoders. The model learns spectral-spatial patterns in hyperspectral imagery by reconstructing pixel patches and highlighting deviations as anomalies. PyTorch provides flexibility in designing neural architectures and tracking training metrics like loss, MSE, and SSIM.

- **Hyperspectral Data Processing (NumPy, SciPy, OpenCV):**
  Preprocessing high-dimensional hyperspectral data involves patch-wise normalization, denoising, and reflectance correction using NumPy and SciPy. OpenCV is used for handling RGB image rendering and conversion tasks, aiding visual correlation with detected anomalies.

- **Spectral Signature Comparison (Spectral Angle Mapper - SAM):**
  To validate detected anomalies, the system uses the Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) algorithm. It computes the angle between anomaly spectral signatures and known target signatures from a reference library. A lower SAM value indicates a close match, aiding in pollution, material, or threat identification.

- **Geolocation Mapping with Metadata:**
  Each anomaly detected in the hyperspectral image is georeferenced using GPS and IMU metadata embedded in the image files. This mapping is achieved through pixel-wise coordinate extraction and Kalman filtering, allowing users to retrieve exact Easting/Northing (UTM) positions of anomalies.

- **Interactive Visualization Interface (Streamlit):**
  The application is built with Streamlit, offering a user-friendly browser-based dashboard. Users can upload RGB and HDR image files, specify spectral libraries, visualize anomalies in real-time, and apply filtering mechanisms. The dashboard also displays spectral plots and maps georeferenced results for better interpretability.

- **Data Management and Patch Creation:**
  The system employs recursive image chunking to divide hyperspectral images into fixed-size patches. This enables batch-wise processing during training and inference. Each patch is passed through the deep learning pipeline, making the application scalable to high-resolution datasets.

- **Structured Output and Thresholding (SAM + Reconstruction Loss):**
  Detected anomalies are filtered using reconstruction error thresholds and SAM similarity scores. The outputs are structured and displayed within the dashboard, allowing domain experts to interpret results and act. The strict thresholding also minimizes false positives in complex backgrounds.

- **Session Management and Logging:**
  Streamlit's st.session state is used to retain uploaded data paths, generated anomaly maps, and previous inference results across user interactions. This ensures seamless transitions during multiple dataset evaluations and supports batch result comparisons.

  This technology stack enables a **modular, lightweight, and scalable architecture** for hyperspectral image analysis. It supports future enhancements like edge deployment, multi-sensor integration, and real-time alerts making it ideal for use in environmental monitoring, defense surveillance, and infrastructure inspections.

Research Methodologies used

The Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection System was developed using an applied research methodology with iterative experimentation. The focus was on building a real-time, scalable detection system by integrating deep learning, signal processing, and geospatial technologies. The research approach is outlined below:

- **Applied Research Approach**
  This project adopted an applied research approach aimed at solving real-world problems in anomaly detection and geolocation using hyperspectral imagery. Rather than developing new theoretical models, the study applied existing AI/ML frameworks like autoencoders and spectral matching techniques (e.g., SAM) to process high-dimensional data for practical deployment in environmental and surveillance applications.

- **Experimental Design and Model Development**
  A significant part of the research involved iterative model development using PyTorch. Various 3D convolutional autoencoder architectures were tested for their ability to reconstruct hyperspectral image patches and detect spectral deviations. The experiments included:
  - Designing encoder-decoder blocks to optimize reconstruction loss.
  - Testing with different patch sizes and normalization strategies.
  - Evaluating anomaly detection precision through SAM threshold tuning.
  - Balancing model accuracy vs. computational complexity for real-time feasibility.

- **Data-Driven Evaluation (Qualitative and Quantitative)**
  Both quantitative metrics and qualitative validation were employed to evaluate the model:
  - Quantitative: Mean Squared Error (MSE), Structural Similarity Index (SSIM), and anomaly count were recorded for training and evaluation datasets.
  - Qualitative: Visual validation of anomalies on RGB images and normalized maps, and SAM-based spectral comparisons with known signatures.
  - Geolocation accuracy was verified using metadata (Easting/Northing) from HDR image files.

- **Rule-Based and Spectral-Angle Filtering**
  While the autoencoder identifies outliers, rule-based filters (based on anomaly scores and SAM thresholds) refine the output. These filters reduce false positives and ensure that only spectrally meaningful anomalies are retained. The SAM metric enforces angular similarity criteria for validating detected anomalies against known target materials or pollutants.

- **Simulated Testing and Visualization Integration**
  Since real-world deployment (e.g., via drones or satellites) was out of scope, simulated testing was conducted using publicly available benchmark datasets (AVIRIS and Hyperion). The visual outputs—anomaly maps, RGB overlays, spectral plots, and geolocation points—were examined in a custom-built Streamlit dashboard. This simulated interface mimicked real-time operational behavior.

Challenges

The development of the Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection System, though impactful and technically robust, presented a range of challenges during various phases—spanning from high-dimensional data handling to unsupervised model tuning and real-time visualization integration
.

- **High Dimensionality of Hyperspectral Data:**
  Hyperspectral imagery contains hundreds of spectral bands, making traditional data preprocessing and model training computationally intensive. Ensuring efficiency while preserving spectral-spatial information was a key challenge.

- **Lack of Labeled Data for Supervised Learning:**
  Since hyperspectral anomaly detection often lacks labeled datasets, developing a reliable unsupervised model was complex. Evaluating model performance without ground truth labels added further difficulty in validation.

- **Spectral Similarity Confusion in Complex Environments:**
  Differentiating between background noise and meaningful anomalies was difficult in scenes with spectral similarities (e.g., urban clutter or vegetation overlaps), often resulting in false positives or missed anomalies.

- **Real-Time Processing Constraints:**
  Processing large-scale images in real-time for deployment in environmental or defense scenarios poses memory and latency challenges. Balancing model complexity with real-time feasibility requires architectural optimization.

- **Geolocation and Visualization Integration:**
  Converting pixel-level anomalies into georeferenced outputs required precise metadata extraction and coordinate transformation. Integrating this with Streamlit for live visualization demanded careful handling of spatial logic and performance trade-offs.

Gaps to be addressed

While the Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection System represents a significant advancement in real-time spectral analysis and deep learning integration, several gaps remain that must be addressed to enhance its accuracy, adaptability, and operational robustness. The following points highlight key areas of improvement:

- **Domain-Specific Anomaly Classification:**
  The current model detects spectral anomalies but lacks the capability to classify them based on domain-specific knowledge (e.g., environmental pollutants, geological minerals, or military threats). Integration of labeled domain-specific spectral libraries and contextual classifiers could improve target interpretation accuracy.

- **Multi-Modal Sensor Fusion:**
  The system currently processes only hyperspectral data along with GPS metadata. Incorporating additional modalities such as LiDAR, multispectral imagery, and thermal data can offer deeper spatial and structural insights, thereby improving detection confidence in complex terrains.

- **Automated Quality Validation and Confidence Scoring:**
  There is limited automated evaluation of anomaly validity beyond Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) thresholds. Introducing confidence scores, anomaly severity grading, and expert-based feedback loops could help in assessing the reliability and actionability of detected outputs.

- **Adaptability Across Diverse Geographic Terrains:**
  The current model is validated on benchmark datasets (AVIRIS and Hyperion), which may not generalize well to drastically different terrains or sensor types. Incorporating transfer learning or adaptive fine-tuning for varying terrain characteristics will enhance the model's scalability and relevance.

- **Scalability and Computational Efficiency:**
  Processing high-resolution hyperspectral data in real-time is resource intensive. During large-scale deployments (e.g., drones, satellites), optimization strategies such as edge inference, on-device model compression, or patch prioritization could drastically improve performance and reduce system latency.

- **Error Handling and Model Drift Detection:**
  The system lacks a formal mechanism for identifying and responding to inference errors, spectral noise spikes, or potential model drift during long-term deployments. Developing a resilience layer for anomaly rejection, warning generation, and self-checking capabilities is crucial for mission-critical use cases.

- **User Feedback Integration for Adaptive Learning:**
  Currently, user interaction is limited to visualization and file upload. Adding feedback mechanisms that allow experts to annotate, confirm, or reject anomaly detections can help retrain and refine the model iteratively for evolving operational scenarios.

- **Personalization Based on Use Case Profiles:**
  The system currently operates generically across use cases like environmental monitoring or defense. Tailoring model thresholds, spectral libraries, and alert protocols to user-defined profiles (e.g., agriculture, urban mapping, disaster recovery) would enhance applicability and reduce false alarms.

  Addressing these gaps will elevate the current system into a fully adaptive, domain-aware, and real-world deployable anomaly detection framework—ready to assist in critical decision-making across sectors like defence, agriculture, climate science, and infrastructure monitoring.

3. Existing System

Traditional hyperspectral anomaly detection systems primarily rely on classical statistical models, transform-based techniques, clustering algorithms, or basic deep learning models. These methods have been instrumental in initiating research into automated detection from hyperspectral data but still fall short in delivering scalable, real-time, and context-aware solutions for practical use cases. Early statistical approaches like the Reed-Xiaoli Detector (RXD) identify outliers based on statistical deviation but struggle in heterogeneous backgrounds and produce high false positives. Similarly, transform-based methods such as the Fractional Fourier Transform (FrFT) are used to enhance spectral features but suffer from extensive parameter tuning and are not optimized for real-time deployments. Clustering algorithms like K-means and DBSCAN attempt unsupervised grouping of similar pixels but fail to handle the high dimensionality and complex relationships present in hyperspectral data.

Modern deep learning approaches, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), have shown potential in anomaly detection, but they typically require large, labeled datasets and are often trained for supervised classification tasks. This limits their applicability in real-world hyperspectral analysis, where labeled data is rare and anomalies are often undefined or evolving. Some of the core limitations of existing systems include:

- **Lack of Contextual Spectral-Spatial Understanding:**
  Classical systems often rely solely on spectral data, ignoring the spatial context that is crucial in identifying structural anomalies (e.g., camouflaged objects, pollution zones). This results in weak anomaly localization.

- **Limited Anomaly Types and Target Validation:**
  Most existing models detect outliers but cannot validate anomalies against known targets or libraries. This limits their utility in domains like defense or environmental monitoring where identifying the *type* of anomaly is critical.

- **Minimal Adaptability to Real-Time Scenarios:**
  Systems are computationally expensive and not designed for deployment in real-time applications such as UAV monitoring or satellite feeds. Their high latency and rigid architecture restrict operational scalability.

- **Preprocessing and Data Dependency:**
  Traditional methods often require preprocessed, noise-free input. Real-world hyperspectral data, however, may include atmospheric distortions, sensor noise, or missing metadata—making many models brittle in uncontrolled environments.

- **Lack of Quality Assurance and Interpretability:**
  Outputs from existing anomaly detection models are often raw, without interpretive aids like spectral matching or visual overlays. This requires manual expert review, thereby reducing the automation benefits and slowing down decision-making.

Disadvantages of Existing Systems

Although conventional and early-stage automated systems for hyperspectral anomaly detection have contributed foundational insights to the field, they exhibit several notable limitations that restrict their widespread adoption in practical, real-time scenarios:

- **Time-Intensive and Laborious Processing:**
  Many traditional models require extensive preprocessing, including atmospheric correction, spectral calibration, and noise filtering. This slows down the pipeline and hampers scalability for real-time or near real-time applications like drone-based surveillance or disaster response.

- **Limited Contextual and Spatial Awareness:**
  Existing statistical and clustering methods primarily rely on spectral signatures and neglect spatial correlations. As a result, they struggle with spatially blended anomalies or camouflaged targets that require a joint spectral-spatial understanding.

- **Restricted Target Identification Capability:**
  Traditional systems often stop at anomaly localization and lack the ability to match spectral profiles against known libraries. This prevents domain-specific classification, which is critical in applications such as pollution detection, agricultural disease tracking, or defense surveillance.

- **Low Adaptability to Noisy and Heterogeneous Data:**
  Standard models are sensitive to spectral variability, sensor noise, and inconsistent data quality. Real-world hyperspectral datasets, especially those from aerial platforms, include missing bands, irregular formats, and environmental distortions that often disrupt conventional detection models.

- **No Personalization or Context-Aware Thresholding:**
  These systems apply fixed anomaly detection thresholds, making them inflexible to different terrain types, environmental conditions, or mission-specific goals. They lack adaptive strategies for domain-specific tuning or user-driven anomaly prioritization.

- **Absence of Real-Time Feedback Mechanisms:**
  Traditional models are not designed to integrate field operator feedback or user verification of detected anomalies. This lack of interactivity reduces iterative refinement and model learning, which are essential in evolving or mission-critical environments.

- **Frequent False Positives and Inaccurate Detections:**
  Without robust spectral-spatial integration, many older methods are prone to false alarms, especially in cluttered urban environments or complex natural backgrounds. These errors demand human intervention, delaying response and reducing system autonomy.

- **Scalability and Deployment Challenges:**
  Most legacy approaches are computationally expensive and not optimized for large-scale deployment across geospatial platforms like satellites, drones, or ground stations. Their inability to handle high-volume, high-dimensional data streams restricts usage in real-world, large-area surveillance systems.

4. Conclusion

The Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection System is a strong demonstration of how artificial intelligence and deep learning can be applied to solve real-world problems in remote sensing, surveillance, and environmental monitoring. By integrating spectral-spatial learning with an interactive dashboard, the system provides an end-to-end pipeline—from preprocessing hyperspectral image data, detecting anomalies in an unsupervised manner, validating findings using spectral matching techniques, and visualizing results with real-world geolocation mapping. The application effectively overcomes traditional challenges in hyperspectral analysis, such as high dimensionality, lack of labeled datasets, and the need for real-time responsiveness. Its modular, scalable, and user-friendly interface allows researchers and domain professionals to analyze large datasets without relying on extensive infrastructure or manual intervention. The approach not only advances technical capabilities in spectral anomaly detection but also opens new avenues for interdisciplinary use cases across defense, agriculture, and disaster response.

Key Learnings

- **Understanding and Using Autoencoders for Anomaly Detection:**
  You learned how unsupervised models like 3D convolutional autoencoders can be used to detect spectrally significant deviations without the need for labeled data. The project reinforced the importance of reconstruction-based anomaly scoring and dimensionality handling in hyperspectral imagery.

- **Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) Integration:**
  The project introduced the use of Spectral Angle Mapper for comparing detected anomalies with known spectral signatures. This reinforced how physical spectral similarity can be algorithmically quantified for real-world material classification.

- **Efficient Patch-Based Image Processing:**
  You gained insights into patch-wise processing techniques to manage large image sizes efficiently. This helped optimize training time and enabled scalable deployment of the model for high-resolution satellite and drone imagery.

- **Visualization with Streamlit:**
  You explored how to use Streamlit to create an interactive visualization interface. File uploads, anomaly maps, spectral signature plots, and geolocation results were all integrated in a manner that enhanced interpretability and end-user experience.

- **Geospatial Metadata Handling and Coordinate Mapping:**
  You worked with HDR metadata to extract geolocation information and map anomalies using Easting and Northing coordinates. This provided valuable exposure to combining remote sensing data with real-world positioning systems.

- **Model Evaluation Metrics and Threshold Design:**
  You applied evaluation metrics such as MSE and SSIM and fine-tuned threshold parameters for anomaly filtering and SAM similarity scoring. This deepened your understanding of how to design balanced detection criteria for sensitive environments.

- **Error Handling and Edge Case Management:**
  During testing, you encountered cases where visual anomalies lacked spectral significance or metadata errors disrupted coordinate mapping. These challenges taught you the importance of building robust exception-handling mechanisms in AI pipelines.

- **Scalability and Resource Optimization:**
  You realized the computational overhead of processing hyperspectral data and began appreciating techniques like model compression, selective chunking, and batch inference for future edge deployment scenarios.

- **End-to-End AI Pipeline Integration:**
  From data ingestion, preprocessing, deep learning, SAM-based validation, to frontend visualization—you constructed and executed a complete AI pipeline. This hands-on orchestration gave you an in-depth understanding of full-cycle AI application development.

- **Remote Sensing and AI Synergy for Societal Use:**
  Most importantly, you developed a real-world appreciation for how artificial intelligence can enhance decision-making in environmental monitoring, defense, and disaster response—highlighting the power of AI in solving societal-scale problems beyond theoretical research.

Future Scope

The current implementation of the Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection System marks a significant milestone in integrating AI with remote sensing, but there remains substantial room for growth and enhancement. Future versions of the system can consider the following improvements:

- **Edge Deployment for Real-Time Applications:**
  The system can be optimized for deployment on edge devices such as drones, mobile surveillance units, or satellite onboard systems. This would enable real-time anomaly detection in environments where internet connectivity and processing infrastructure are limited.

- **Domain-Specific Spectral Library Integration:**
  Future iterations can incorporate spectral libraries tailored to specific domains like agriculture (crop disease), pollution (chemical signatures), or defense (camouflage material detection), allowing for more targeted anomaly classification.

- **Multi-Sensor and Temporal Fusion:**
  Enhancing the model with data from other sensors such as LiDAR, thermal, or multispectral sources, along with time-series hyperspectral data, can provide a comprehensive view of anomaly progression and environmental changes over time.

- **Automated Alerting and Reporting:**
  Real-time alerts and exportable reports (in PDF/CSV format) can be integrated to notify stakeholders about detected anomalies, making the system more actionable for on-ground response teams and policymakers.

- **Feedback Loop for Model Improvement:**
  Adding a mechanism for users to validate or reject detections will help create a feedback loop that enables model fine-tuning through reinforcement learning, improving its accuracy and adaptability over time.

- **Offline and Mobile Accessibility:**
  Developing lightweight mobile and offline desktop versions will make the system more accessible in remote and field settings, particularly in areas with limited connectivity or resource constraints.

References

[1] Y. Xu, L. Zhang, B. Du, and L. Zhang, "Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection Based on Machine Learning: An Overview," IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 15, 2022, pp. 3351–3364.

[2] M. E. Aghili, M. Imani, and H. Ghassemian, "Clustering-based Background Learning for Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection," Earth Science Reviews, vol. 240, 2023.

[3] J.-H. Leung, Y.-M. Tsao, R. Karmakar, et al., "Water Pollution Classification and Detection by Hyperspectral Imaging," Optics Express, vol. 32, no. 14/1, 2024, pp. 23956.

[4] C.-I. Chang, "Target Detection in Hyperspectral Imagery," IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine, vol. 8, no. 3, 2020, pp. 80–100.

[5] L. Tang, Z. Zhao, H. Liu, and J. Li, "Robust Framework for Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection," Remote Sensing, vol. 13, no. 5, 2021, pp 914–926.

[6] Z. Li, Y. Zhang, and J. Zhang, "Spectral and Spatial Constraints for Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 60, 2022.

[7] S. Kwon, Y. Park, and H. Chang, "Real-Time Processing of Hyperspectral Imagery Using Edge Devices," Journal of Real-Time Image Processing, vol. 19, no. 2, 2022.

[8] M. Du, W. Liu, S. Hu, and B. Zhang, "Anomaly Detection in Hyperspectral Images Based on Autoencoder Networks," Remote Sensing Letters, vol. 10, no. 3, 2020, pp. 295–304.

[9] L. Gao, B. Zhang, and H. Li, "Deep Learning in Hyperspectral Image Analysis: A Review," IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine, vol. 5, no. 4, 2017, pp. 8–36.

[10] D. Hong, J. Chanussot, N. Yokoya, et al., "An Overview of Deep Learning in Hyperspectral Image Analysis," IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine, vol. 9, no. 2, 2021, pp. 87–118.

[11] J. Ma, W. Xie, and Y. Li, "Small Target Detection in Hyperspectral Imagery: A Human Vision-Inspired Method," Remote Sensing, vol. 14, no. 8, 2022.

[12] Y. Wang, J. Zhang, and Z. Li, "Unsupervised Anomaly Detection Using Spatial Attention Mechanisms," ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, vol. 192, 2022.

[13] A. Mahlein, "Plant Disease Detection by Imaging Sensors – Parallels and Specific Demands for Precision Agriculture and Plant Phenotyping," Plant Disease, vol. 100, no. 2, 2016, pp. 241–251.

[14] S. Li, H. Shen, Q. Yuan, and L. Zhang, "Hyperspectral Image Processing for Urban Feature Detection," ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, vol. 141, 2018, pp. 226–239

.
[15] R. Selvaraju, M. Cogswell, A. Das, et al., "Grad-CAM: Visual Explanations from Deep Networks," Proceedings of ICCV, 2017.

[16] J. Yue, W. Zhao, S. Mao, and H. Liu, "Spectral–Spatial Classification of Hyperspectral Images Using Deep Convolutional Neural
Networks," Remote Sensing Letters, vol. 6, no. 6, 2015, pp. 468–477.

[17] X. Liu, S. Yu, and Y. Li, "Plug-and-Play Proximal Block Coordinate Descent for Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection," IEEE
Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 30, 2021.

[18] T. Lin, Y. Huang, and L. Zhang, "Low-Rank and Sparse Decomposition for Anomaly Detection," Journal of Applied Remote Sensing,
vol. 13, no. 4, 2019.

[19] H. Lu, K. Cao, and X. Li, "Attention-Based Network for Unsupervised Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection," Sensors, vol. 22, 2022.

[20] J. Li, Q. Du, and B. Zhang, "Anomaly Detection in Hyperspectral Imagery: A Survey," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote
Sensing, vol. 54, no. 5, 2016.

[21] B. Demir and L. Bruzzone, "A Review of Classification Methods for Hyperspectral Data," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and
Remote Sensing, vol. 52, no. 2, 2014.

[22] M. Grady, "Robust Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection for Urban Surveillance," Journal of Sensors, vol. 2018.

[23] L. Duan, T. Li, and H. Zhang, "Low-Rank and Total Variation Regularization for Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection," Signal
Processing, vol. 174, 2020.

[24] M. Chang, Y. Chen, and K. Lin, "Deep Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection Using Generative Adversarial Networks," Proceedings of
IGARSS, 2020.

[25] J. Ma and P. Li, "Deep Learning for Remote Sensing Applications: A Meta-Analysis," Earth-Science Reviews, vol. 218, 2021
.
[26] K. Hu, X. He, and J. Wang, "Unsupervised Learning for Hyperspectral Target Detection," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 142, 2021.

[27] L. Yu, Y. Peng, and H. Fu, "Anomaly Detection Based on Dual Autoencoder with Reconstruction Error Constraint," Sensors, vol. 21,
no. 9, 2021.

[28] D. Kingma and M. Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes," International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2014.

[29] S. T. Roweis and L. K. Saul, "Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction by Locally Linear Embedding," Science, vol. 290, no. 5500, 2000,
pp. 2323–2326.

[30] C. Zhao, Y. Fu, and D. Zhang, "Hyperspectral Image Classification Using Spectral–Spatial Residual Network," Remote Sensing, vol.
11, no. 1, 2019.

[31] J. Li and H. Liu, "A Review on Hyperspectral Remote Sensing for Forest Health Monitoring," Forests, vol. 12, no. 2, 2021.

[32] R. Qian, Y. Sun, and B. Li, "Multi-Perspective Fusion for Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection," IEEE Access, vol. 10, 2022, pp. 119582–
119594.

[33] J. Kwon and H. Kim, "Spectral–Spatial Anomaly Detection Using Deep Neural Networks," Journal of Applied Remote Sensing, vol. 14,
no. 3, 2020.

[34] M. Lichman, "UCI Machine Learning Repository," University of California, Irvine, School of Information and Computer Sciences,
2013.

[35] AVIRIS Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer Data Portal, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory. [Online]. Available:
https://aviris.jpl.nasa.gov